

City of Merced

Water Rate & Capital Facility Charge Study



July 24, 2018



July 24, 2018

Mr. Ken Elwin Public Works Director City of Merced 1776 Grogan Ave. Merced, CA 95341

Re: **DRAFT** Water Rate & Capital Facility Charge Study

Dear Mr. Elwin,

Stantec Consulting and Hildebrand Consulting are pleased to present this report of the Water Rate & Capital Facility Charge Study (Study) that we performed for the City of Merced (City). We appreciate the fine assistance provided by you and all of the members of the City staff who participated in the Study.

If you or others at the City have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (510) 316-0621 or email me at <u>mhildebrand@hildco.com</u>. We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to the City and look forward to the possibility of doing so again in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mark Hildebrand Project Manager

Enclosure

Executive Summary

This Executive Summary presents an overview of the results of the Water Rate and Capital Facility Charge Study (Study) that was conducted for the City of Merced (hereafter referred to as the "City") by Stantec Consulting.

ES. 1 – STUDY OBJECTIVES AND APPROACH

The primary objectives of this Study are to:

- i. Develop a multi-year financial management plan that integrates the City's capital funding needs;
- ii. Identify future rate adjustments to water rates that will ensure adequate revenues to meet the City's ongoing financial requirements;
- iii. Determine the cost of providing water service to customers using industry accepted methodologies;
- iv. Recommend specific rate structures that equitably recover the cost of service while promoting affordability and comporting with industry practices and legal requirements;
- v. Review and revise the methodology for calculating the City's existing Capital Facility Charge to ensure that it is calculated based on industryaccepted methodologies; and
- vi. Update the Capital Facility Charges to reflect the full cost of providing service to new customers without burdening existing users.

This study used methodologies that are aligned with industry standard practices for rate setting as promulgated by the American Water Works Association (AWWA) and all applicable law, including California Constitution Article XIII D, Section 6(b) (for water rates) and Government Code 66000-66025 (for the Capital Facility Charge).

ES. 2 – WATER RATE STUDY

This Study consisted of the following phases:

<u>Revenue Sufficiency Analysis (RSA)</u> – Developed and populated a multi-year forecasting model for the City to determine the level of annual rate revenue required to satisfy projected annual operating costs, debt service expenses, and capital cost requirements as well as maintain adequate reserves.

<u>Cost-of-Service Analysis (COSA)</u> – Using the revenue requirements from the revenue sufficiency analysis for Fiscal Year (FY) ending 2019, performed a detailed cost of service allocation based upon principles outlined by the American Water Works Association (AWWA) and other generally accepted industry practices in order to determine the proper distribution of costs and corresponding revenue requirements.

<u>Rate Structure Analysis</u> – Developed specific rates to recover the identified level of required rate revenue. Recommended rate schedules were designed to ensure the water rates conform to accepted industry practices and reflect the appropriate distribution of system costs, while achieving the City's policy objectives, such as fiscal stability and affordability to the greatest extent possible.

Revenue Sufficiency Analysis

In the RSA, Stantec evaluated the sufficiency of the City's rate revenues to meet all of its current and projected financial requirements over a 10-year projection period and determined the level of rate revenue increases necessary in the next 3 years to provide sufficient revenues to fund cost requirements. Input data and key assumptions were reviewed with City staff, and several alternative capital spending scenarios were evaluated during the analysis. This process generated a recommended financial plan and corresponding annual rate increases.

The proposed financial plan and associated rate revenue adjustments are based upon provided revenue and expense information, beginning balances, and key assumptions as detailed in Section 2.2 of the report. The 4-year rate revenue adjustment plan is presented in Table ES.1. It is important to note that, while rate revenues will increase by 2% as a whole, some customers' bills may go up or go down based on the recommended rate structure adjustments identified in the cost of service and rate design phases of the Study.

Implementation Date	Rate Adjustment
January 1, 2019	2.0%
July 1, 2019	2.0%
July 1, 2020	2.0%
July 1, 2021	2.0%

Table ES.1 - Proposed Plan of Water Rate Revenue Increases

Cost-of-Service Analysis

The purpose of a COSA is to determine the cost of providing water services so that the revenue requirements of the utility may be fairly collected through rates. The Study employed the "base-extra capacity" cost-of-service method promulgated in AWWA's Manual M1: Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges (M1) for the water system, whereby costs are first allocated to individual functions or activities then the cost of each function is distributed to appropriate system parameters to calculate unit costs. The unit costs are then used to distribute system costs to each Customer Class based on their usage characteristics. The COSA included the following steps:

- Step 1: Allocate costs to the appropriate activities/functions
- Step 2: Allocate the costs of each function to specific system parameters
- Step 3: Calculate unit costs
- Step 4: Credit non-rate revenue

Rate Structure Recommendation

Upon completion of the COSA, a rate structure analysis was performed to identify potential rate structure modifications and specific rate schedules that would:

- Fairly and equitably recover costs through rates;
- Conform to accepted industry practice and legal requirements;
- Provide fiscal stability and recovery of fixed costs of the system; and

• Promote affordability for customers minimizing water usage.

The City follows a common industry practice with a two-part rate structure that is comprised of a fixed service charge (Base Monthly Charge) and a uniform, consumption-based rate (Volumetric Rate). The City's Base Monthly Charge is assessed based on meter size and includes an allotment of water usage (between 30 to 50 HCF per month, depending on the size of the meter). The City's uniform Volumetric Rate is charged for all water usage exceeding the water allotment that comes with the Base Monthly Charge. This Study recommends implementing the following three changes to the City's water rate structure.

- 1) Update the meter equivalency schedule;
- 2) Identify specific costs that are designated to be recovered through fixed vs. variable revenue; and
- 3) Change the amount of water that is allocated as part of the Base Monthly Charge.

The study also updated Private Fire Service Charges and Backflow Prevention Charges and proposes the addition of drought rates and an Outside City Surcharge to water accounts that are located outside of the City's jurisdiction. Tables ES.3 shows the proposed rates for FY 2019. The complete list of rate schedules through FY 2022 are provided in the complete report.

Water Rates		
Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge	
3/4"	\$30.39	
1"	\$30.39	
1 1/2"	\$60.32	
2"	\$96.24	
3"	\$192.01	
4"	\$299.76	
6"	\$599.06	
8"	\$958.22	
10"	\$1,437.10	
12"	\$2,020.74	

Table ES.3 – Proposed Rates, effective January 1, 2019

Volumetric Charge: \$0.73 / HCF

Outside City Surcharge

Meter Size	Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$5.51
1"	\$5.51
1 1/2"	\$11.03
2"	\$17.64
3"	\$35.28
4"	\$55.13
6"	\$110.25
8"	\$176.40

Backflow Prevention Charge

\$8.75 per month

Private Fire Service

Size of Connection	Monthly Charge
2"	\$1.36
2 3"	\$3.96
4"	\$8.44
6"	\$24.53
8"	\$52.28
10"	\$94.01
12"	\$151.85
Fire Hydrant	\$15.19

Drought Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge	
3/4"	\$31.10	
1"	\$31.10	
1 1/2"	\$61.74	
2"	\$98.51	
3"	\$196.56	
4"	\$306.86	
6"	\$613.26	
8"	\$980.94	
10"	\$1,471.18	
12"	\$2,068.66	
Volumetric Charge: \$0.98 / HCF		

ES. 3 – CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGE

The Capital Facility Charge study summarizes the findings and recommendations from Stantec's independent review of the City's Capital Facility Charge for new connections to the City's water system. A Capital Facility Charge is a one-time charge paid by a new utility customer to purchase system capacity in order to join the system. The Report discusses the regulatory requirements, computational methods, and the approach used to compute the proposed Capital Facility Charge schedule. Capital Facility Charges are legally referred to as "capacity charges" in California state law and are also known as "developer impact fees" and "system development charges" (among other terms).

Approach

This study used the "incremental approach" to calculate the Capital Facility Charges due to the fact that the existing water system is currently operating near its full capacity. Cost estimates for growth-related projects were used in the calculation of system expansion costs under the incremental approach. The cost of each project and the capacity associated with the projects allowed for calculation of the unit-cost for capacity expansion.

Calculation of Charge

Based on the costs for planned growth-related projects for the water system over the next 5 years (\$28.5 million), the new capacity associated with those projects (14.4 million gallons per day during a peak day), and the capacity requirements of a new single-family account (3,121 gallons per day during a peak day), the Capital Facility Charge was calculated to be \$6,037 per 1" meter. Capital Facility Charges were determined for each meter size based on an industry standard meter equivalency schedule to scale the charges according to the capacity of each meter. The complete schedule is provided in Table ES.4.



Meter Size	Capital Facility Charge
1"	\$6,037
1.5"	\$12,074
2"	\$19,318
3"	\$38,637
4"	\$60,370
6"	\$120,740
8"	\$193,184
10"	\$289,776
12"	\$407,498

Table ES.4 - Proposed Capital Facility Charge Schedule

In addition to a customer's peak demands for water consumption, the water system needs to be sized to accommodate fire flow requirements. Consistent with the City's historical practice, customers with a higher fire flow requirement will pay for the incremental cost of the extra fire flow capacity needed to serve them. This Fire Flow Charge will be based on the incremental increases in fire flow demand above the fire flow requirements of a "standard" account (a 1" meter). Thus, the incremental Fire Flow Charge will be calculated as follows:

Fire Flow Charge

$$= \left[\left(\frac{Fire \ Flow \ Req't \ (gpm) \times Duration \ (hours)}{1,500 \ gpm \times 2 \ hours} \right) - 1 \right] \\ \times 1" \ Meter \ Capital \ Facility \ Charge$$



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	N 1.		3
1.1	UTILITY	Background	3
1.2	OBJEC	TIVES	4
1.3	ACRON	۷YMS	4
1.4	Report	T ORGANIZATION	5
SECTION	N 2.	WATER RATE STUDY	6
2.1	Gener	al Methodology	6
2.2	Reveni	je Sufficiency Analysis	7
2.2.1	Data	& Assumptions	7
2.2.1	.1 Be	eginning Fund Balances	7
2.2.1	.2 C	ustomer Growth & Volume Forecast	8
2.2.1	.3 Ro	ate Revenues	9
2.2.1	.4 N	on-Rate Revenues	9
2.2.1	.5 0	perating Expenses & Existing Debt	10
2.2.1	.6 C	ost Escalation	11
2.2.1	.7 C	apital Improvement Program	11
2.2.1	.8 In	terest Earnings on Invested Funds	11
2.2.1	.9 M	linimum Operating Reserve Balance	11
2.2.1	.10 Fu	uture Borrowing Assumptions	13
2.2.1	.11 D	ebt Coverage	13
2.2.2	Propo	osed Rate Adjustments	14
2.3	Cost-c	OF-Service Allocation	15
2.3.1	Proce	232	16
2.3.1	.1 St	ep 1: Allocate Costs to System Functions	17
2.3.1	.2 St	ep 2: Distribute Functionalized Costs to System Parameters	18
2.3.1	.3 St	ep 3: Use System Metrics to develop Unit Costs	20
2.3.1	.4 St	ep 4: Credit Non-Rate Revenue	21
2.4	RATE ST	TRUCTURE	23
2.4.1	Curre	ent Rates	23
2.4.2	Propo	osed Rate Structure	24
2.4.3	8 Propo	osed Base Monthly Charge	27
2.4.4	Volun	netric Rate	28
2.4.5	5 Droug	ght Rates	. 28

2.4.6	Outsic	de City Surcharge	29		
2.4.7	Privat	e Fire Rates	31		
2.4.8	B Backf	low Prevention Rates	32		
2.5	Summa	ry of Proposed Rates	34		
SECTION	N 3.	CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGE STUDY	35		
3.1	Саріта	l Facility Charges Generally	35		
3.2	APPLIC	ABLE LAW	36		
3.3	Existing	g Capacity Charges	37		
3.4	4 INCREMENTAL APPROACH COMPONENT				
3.5	3.5 CURRENT WATER SYSTEM CAPACITY				
3.6 GROWTH-RELATED PROJECTS					
3.7 CALCULATION OF CHARGE					
3.8	Fire Flo	WC	40		
3.9	Indexin	IG THE CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGE	41		
APPEND	IX A:	RSA SCHEDULES	44		
APPEND	IX B:	COST-OF-SERVICE SCHEDULES	49		
APPEND	IX C:	PROPOSED RATE SCHEDULES	54		

Section 1. INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting has been retained by the City of Merced (City) to conduct a Water Rate and Capital Facility Charge Study (Study). This report describes in detail the assumptions, procedures, and results of the Study, including conclusions and recommendations.

1.1 UTILITY BACKGROUND

The City of Merced is located in Merced County in the Central San Joaquin Valley, 110 miles southeast of San Francisco and 310 miles northwest of Los Angeles. The City's existing water service area is over 21 square miles. The existing water service area comprises the area within the city limits, UC Merced campus and some small county areas outside the City limits. The City manages water resources and constructs, operates, maintains, repairs, and replaces water system facilities as needed to provide water service in compliance with applicable standards and regulations.

The City currently depends solely on groundwater supplied from 22 wells located throughout the water service area. The pumped water level in the wells generally ranges from 60 to 230 feet.

The City completed its metering program in 2017. This is the first rate study to be completed by the City since metering all customers. Although a full year of metering data was not yet available, the study was able to use 9 months of metering data to infer annual water consumption.

According to U.S. Census data the population growth in the City from 2007 to 2012 was 2.3 percent, which as slow relative to historical rates due to the housing market collapse that occurred in 2008. The growth that did occur was mainly due to UC Merced expansion.



1.2 OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of this Study are to:

- i. Develop a multi-year financial management plan that integrates the City's capital funding needs;
- ii. Identify future rate adjustments to water rates that will ensure adequate revenues to meet the City's ongoing financial requirements;
- iii. Determine the cost of providing water service to customers using industry accepted methodologies;
- iv. Recommend specific rate structures that equitably recover the cost of service while promoting affordability and comporting with industry practices and legal requirements;
- v. Review and revise the methodology for calculating the City's existing Capital Facility Charge to ensure that it is calculated based on industryaccepted methodologies; and
- vi. Update the Capital Facility Charges to reflect the full cost of providing service to new customers without burdening existing users.

1.3 ACRONYMS

The following acronyms are used in this Report.

AF	acre-feet
AWWA	American Water Works Association
CIP	capital improvement program
COSA	cost of service analysis
DCR	debt service coverage ratio
ERU	equivalent residential unit
FAMS-XL	Financial Analysis and Management System model
FTE	full time equivalent (employee)
FY	fiscal year (which ends on June 30 for the City)
gpd	gallons per day

gpm	gallons per minute
GSA	Groundwater Sustainability Agency
HCF	hundred cubic feet
ME	meter equivalents
mgd	millions of gallons per day
PERS	Public Employees Retirement System
RSA	revenue sufficiency analysis
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan

1.4 REPORT ORGANIZATION

Section 2 of this Report addresses the Water Rate Study approach, methodology and recommendations, and Section 3 details the same for the Capital Facility Charge.



Section 2. WATER RATE STUDY

This Water Rate Study Report has been organized into a discussion of the general methodology, the revenue sufficiency analysis (financial plan), cost of service/rate design, and rate recommendations.

This study applied methodologies that are aligned with industry standard practices for rate setting as promulgated by the American Water Works Association (AWWA) and all applicable law, including California Constitution Article XIII D, Section 6(b), commonly known as Proposition 218.

2.1 GENERAL METHODOLOGY

The Study began with development of a multi-year financial management plan that determined the level of annual rate revenue required to cover projected annual operating expenses, debt service (including coverage targets), and capital cost requirements as well as maintain adequate reserves. This portion of the Study was conducted using the revenue sufficiency and financial planning module of Stantec's proprietary Financial Analysis and Management System (FAMS-XL) modeling system. The model was customized to reflect the financial dynamics and most current data available for the City's operations in order to develop a long-term financial management plan, inclusive of projected annual revenue requirements and corresponding annual rate adjustments.

Revenue requirements calculated during the revenue sufficiency analysis for Fiscal Year¹ ending June 2019 (FY 2019) were then used to perform a detailed cost-ofservice allocation (COSA) analysis. The COSA analysis was conducted based upon principles outlined by the AWWA and other generally accepted industry practices to develop rates that reflect the cost of providing service.

¹ Fiscal years are indicated by their ending years. For example, FY 2017 starts on July 1, 2016 and ends on June 30, 2017

The recommended rate schedules presented herein are designed to ensure that the City's water rates conform to accepted industry practice, legal requirements, and reflect the equitable distribution of system costs, while achieving the City's policy objectives, such as fiscal stability and affordability.

2.2 REVENUE SUFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

This section presents the financial management plan and corresponding plan of water rate adjustments developed in the revenue sufficiency analysis (RSA), including a description of the source data, assumptions, and policies reflected in the RSA. Appendix A includes detailed schedules supporting the financial plan identified herein.

During the RSA, Stantec reviewed alternative multi-year financial management plans and corresponding water rate revenue adjustment outcomes through several interactive work sessions with City staff. As a result of this process, the Study has produced a proposed financial plan and corresponding plan of debt issuance that will allow the City to meet its respective revenue requirements and financial performance objectives throughout the projection period with minimal rate increases.

2.2.1 DATA & ASSUMPTIONS

The City provided historical and budgeted financial information regarding the operation of the utility, including multi-year capital improvement program (CIP) and current debt service obligations and covenants. City staff also assisted in providing other assumptions and policies, such as water demands and customer growth, debt coverage requirements, operating reserve targets, earnings on invested funds, and escalation rates for operating costs (all of which are described in the following subsections). The following presents the key source data relied upon in conducting the RSA.

2.2.1.1 Beginning Fund Balances

The ending cash balances for FY 2017 were used to establish the FY 2018 beginning balances, as outlined in **Table 1**.

FUND BALANCES:		Total Cash Assets		
Water System-Enterprise Fund (557)	\$	21,607,222		
Restricted Capacity Charge Revenue (556 & 566)	\$	27,069,943		
University Capital Charge (344)	\$	480		
PCE CIP Enterprise Fund (463)	\$	578,482		
MTBE Settlement Fund (464)		1,546,612		
TOTAL CONSOLIDATED FUND BALANCE:	\$	50,802,739		

Table 1: FY 2018 Beginning Cash Balance

2.2.1.2 Customer Growth & Volume Forecast

Based upon a review of recent Capital Facility Charge revenues and recent growth data, the RSA assumes that the customer base will grow at a pace of 1.0% per year over the study period.

Forecasting the future usage of water is a perennial challenge for water utilities. **Figure 1** shows how total water production from City wells can vary by as much as 20% over the course of a couple years. Actual water *usage* (as opposed to water production) during that 4-year period is not known since the City has only recently completed installing meters on all accounts. While the recent drought has been declared over, this study assumes that total water usage for the City will remain flat over the course of the five-year study period (equal to FY 2017 usage). This assumption was partially based on the expectation that there will be little "rebound" of water usage. Lower water use may be the "new normal" as the State passes laws such as SB 606 and AB 1668, which establish state-wide mandates to limit per capita indoor water use.





Figure 1 – Historical Water Production at City Wells

2.2.1.3 Rate Revenues

The focus of this Study is the "rate revenue," which is the revenue that is received from customers for water service. The City receives rate revenue in the form of fixed charges ("Base Monthly Charge") and consumption-based variable charges ("Volumetric Rate"). Current rate revenue in the financial plan is based on FY 2018 budgeted revenues, adjusted annually to reflect assumed customer growth, changes in water demand², and the rate revenue adjustments that are proposed by this Study. Budgeted and projected revenues are listed in detail in **Schedule 1** of Appendix A³.

2.2.1.4 Non-Rate Revenues

In addition to rate revenue, the City receives a limited amount of non-rate revenue related to miscellaneous service fees and interest revenue on investments

³ The rate revenue in Schedule 1 does <u>not</u> include the proposed rate adjustment proposed by this Report.



² As discussed in Section 2.2.1.2, this study assumed that there would be no change in the average water demand from customers during the planning period.

(as well as revenue from Capital Facility Charges, which is restricted to spending only on growth-related capital projects). Projections of all non-rate revenues were based on FY 2018 budget values with the exception of interest income which was calculated annually based upon projected average fund balances and assumed interest rates. Budgeted and projected non-rate revenues are listed in detail in **Schedule 1** of Appendix A.

2.2.1.5 Operating Expenses & Existing Debt

The City's operating expenses include all operating and maintenance expenses, debt service requirements, and minor capital outlay. Future operating expenses were projected based upon the budgeted expenditures from FY 2018, adjusted for inflation (see Section 2.2.1.6). Budgeted operating expense categories for FY 2018 are depicted in **Figure 2**. Budgeted and projected operating costs are listed in detail in **Schedule 2** of Appendix A.





The City's outstanding debt includes a 2012 Series Water Revenue Bond that will be fully repaid in FY 2025 and a California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank Enterprise Fund Installment Sales Agreement that will be fully repaid in FY 2034. The corresponding annual debt service for these issuances is identified in **Schedule 2**.

2.2.1.6 Cost Escalation

Annual cost escalation factors for the various types of operating and maintenance expenses were developed based upon a review of historical trends, our industry experience, and detailed discussions with City staff. This study assumes that salaries will escalate at an average of 1.9%, benefits, including the cost of Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) expenses, will escalate at an average of 5.5%, and all other operating expenses, including the cost of capital projects, will escalate at a rate of 3.0% per year.

2.2.1.7 Capital Improvement Program

City staff provided the forecasted spending on the CIP from FY 2018 through FY 2028. In total, the CIP for repair and replacement projects from FY 2018 – FY 2028 is approximately \$18.3 million (excluding escalation), averaging about \$1.7 million per year. A detailed list of repair and replacement projects and costs by year are provided in **Schedule 3** of Appendix A. It should be noted that capital spending forecasts beyond a 5-year planning horizon are difficult to forecast and this study is primarily concerned with the capital spending forecasts within the next 5 years.

As reflected in Section 2.2.1.6, the RSA includes an annual cost escalation factor for capital costs of 3.0% based upon historical increases observed in the Engineering News Record 20-City Construction Cost Index.

2.2.1.8 Interest Earnings on Invested Funds

The RSA reflects interest earnings on invested funds at a rate of 1.13%, based on the recent historical performance of the City's investment earnings as well as input from City staff.

2.2.1.9 Minimum Operating Reserve Balance

Reserve balances for utility systems are funds set aside for a specific cash flow requirement, financial need, or debt covenant. These balances are maintained in order to meet short-term cash flow requirements while minimizing the risk associated with meeting the financial obligations and continued operational and capital needs under adverse conditions. The level of reserves maintained by a utility is an important component and consideration of developing a multi-year financial plan.

Many utilities, rating agencies, and the investment community as a whole place a significant emphasis on having sufficient reserves available for potentially adverse conditions. The rationale related to the maintenance of adequate reserves is twofold. First, it helps to ensure that a utility will have adequate funds available to meet its financial obligations under unforeseen circumstances (i.e. when revenues are unusually low and/or expenditures are unusually high). Second, it provides funds that can be used for emergency repairs or replacements to the system that can occur as a result of natural disasters or unanticipated system failures.

Financial policies should articulate how these balances are established, how funds are to be used, and how to determine the adequacy of the reserve fund balances. Once reserve targets are established, they should be reviewed annually during the budgeting process to monitor current levels and assure conformance with stated policies and practices. Decisions can be made to maintain, increase, or spend down reserve balances, as appropriate, depending upon the impact of such decisions to the upcoming budget period.

For purposes of this Study, all of the City's existing reserve policies have been incorporated into the RSA⁴. The levels of the City reserve policies are consistent with 1) our industry experience for similar systems, 2) the findings of reserve studies conducted by the AWWA, and 3) a healthy level of reserves for a municipal utility system per the evaluation criteria published by the municipal utility rating agencies (e.g. Fitch, Moody's, and Standard & Poor's).

The City currently maintains a 6-month Operating Reserve, which means that 120 days of operating costs are kept available in cash reserves. This reserve ensures

⁴ The City's reserve policies are guidelines used by the Finance Department, and not formally adopted as resolutions. Stantec recommends that the existing guidelines be adopted as policies in order to strengthen the City's image in the eyes of rating agencies.

continuity of service regardless of short-term changes in cash flow or sudden increases in operating costs. Because this reserve target is set relative to the City's operating budget, the target will change as the expenses change. Given that the current operating budget is approximately \$10 million, the Operating Reserve target is about \$5 million.

The City also maintains a Capital Improvement Reserve with a target of \$5 million, which is roughly equal to the replacement value of some of the system's more critical assets, including pump stations. For purposes of this study, the Capital Improvement Reserve target was increased annually by the 3% capital cost escalation factor discussed in Section 2.2.1.6.

The total reserve target by year is shown in Schedule 4 of Appendix A.

2.2.1.10 Future Borrowing Assumptions

This Report does not propose the issuance of new debt. That being said, the Study did consider the issuance of new debt as a potential strategy. The assumed financing terms for those scenarios, for the sake of documentation, were as follows:

- 20-year term, level debt service
- 2.0% cost of issuance
- Fixed interest rate of 5.0% for debt issued in each fiscal year of the projection period.
- A one-year debt service reserve

2.2.1.11 Debt Coverage

The existing 2012 Water Revenue Bond has a debt service coverage ratio (DCR) requirement of 1.25 (including Connection Fee revenue). Per recently published guidance from Fitch Ratings⁵, utility systems with *midrange* financial profiles should maintain debt service coverage greater than 1.50 times net revenue. As such, Stantec ensured that a DCR of at least 1.5 was met in all years in order to enable

⁵ As published on July 31, 2013.

the City to access favorable terms from the debt market should the need arise (in fact the lowest DCR level that is projected in the next 5 years will be 2.79).

2.2.2 PROPOSED RATE ADJUSTMENTS

All of the above information was entered into Stantec's FAMS-XL interactive modeling system. This module of FAMS-XL produced a ten-year projection of the sufficiency of revenues to meet current and projected financial requirements and determined the level of rate revenue increases necessary in each year of the projection period.

Based upon the previously discussed financial data, assumptions, and policies, Stantec proposes a financial strategy of rate adjustments over the next four years, as detailed in Table 2.

Implementation	Rate	
Date	Adjustment	
January 1, 2019	2.0%	
July 1, 2019	2.0%	
July 1, 2020	2.0%	
July 1, 2021	2.0%	

Table 2: Recommended Water Rate Revenue Increase

The numbers provided in **Schedule 4** are summarized graphically in Figure 3, which shows that cash reserves and DCR targets are maintained over the course of the planning period.



Figure 3 – Financial Projection with Recommended Rate Increases

The years beyond FY 2022 will very likely require similar rate increases in order to keep revenues on pace with inflation.

2.3 COST-OF-SERVICE ALLOCATION

The purpose of a Cost-of-Service Allocation (COSA) analysis is to determine the cost of providing water service and to allocate those costs to customer classes and/or rate structure components so that the proposed rate structure is aligned with those costs. This Study employed well-established industry practices as recognized by the AWWA and other accepted industry standards. The following section presents a detailed description of the COSA methodology and corresponding results.

This Study employed the "base-extra capacity" COSA methodology promulgated in AWWA's Manual M1: Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges (M1) for the water system, whereby costs are first allocated to individual functions or activities, including, but not limited to, Source of Supply, Treatment, Transmission & Distribution, Storage, Customer Service, Meters & Services, and Conservation. After functionalizing costs, each cost category is distributed to appropriate system parameters to calculate unit costs. These system parameters include Base Capacity (average day demands), Extra Capacity (maximum day demands & peak hour demands⁶), Customer costs, Meter costs, and Conservation. The unit costs are then used to distribute system costs to the various components of the rate structure (see Section 2.4).

In addition to standard water rates, this study includes recommendations on the creation of an Outside City Surcharge and updates to the existing Backflow Prevention Program Charge, and Private Fire Prevention Charge.

2.3.1 PROCESS

The COSA was based upon the City's FY 2019 annualized expenditure and revenue requirements per the RSA, and included the following steps:



⁶ For this study, billing data was used to directly measure average day and maximum month demands. A Max Day peaking factor of 1.8 and Max Hour peaking factor of 2.8 were applied in accordance with the City's Master Plan.

The following sub-sections give a detailed description of the COSA methodology and high-level results, while **Appendix B** includes detailed schedules of those results.

2.3.1.1 Step 1: Allocate Costs to System Functions

The operating expenses, debt service, and cash-funded capital requirements within the water system were distributed to specific activities or functional components of service.

Industry best practices provide a framework for assigning operating and capital expenses to system functions; however, because the reality of each utility's cost causation and design can vary, the specific knowledge and insight of City staff was relied upon to functionalize line item costs to the respective functional components identified above. A summary of cost functionalization is presented in **Table 3.** The Capital Asset percentages were assigned based on the book value of existing assets.

The detailed summary of all cost allocations to functional components is presented in **Schedule 5** of Appendix B. While many costs can be allocated directly to a functional component, some costs are divided among multiple functional components. In those cases, costs were distributed to the functional component based on either the percentage of full time equivalent (FTE) employees for all functions, or the percentage of FTEs in field operations.

	Functional Components							
Cost Categories	General & Admi.	Groundwater Pumaia	Treathent	^{Trans} & Dist	^{Storage}	Customer Service	Meters & Service.	Co _{nserva} fo _n
General & Admin Pumping Treatment Trans & Dist Storage Customer Service Meters & Services Conservation Fire Protection	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Capital Assets Total FTEs Field FTEs	19.5%	7.6% 10.0%	7.6% 10.0%	51.9% 68.0%		1.0%	9.2% 12.0%	3.1%

Table 3: Allocation of Cost Categories to Functional Components

2.3.1.2 Step 2: Distribute Functionalized Costs to System Parameters

Next the costs allocated to each functional component were distributed to system parameters based on measurable metrics. Assigning costs to each functional component not only allowed for cost allocation to specific customer classes but was also foundational to developing a rate structure that was aligned with the cost to provide service (as required by Proposition 218).

For the most part, the system parameters were direct counterparts to the functional components already discussed. For example (and as shown in **Table 4**), **Customer Service** costs were allocated to the Customer parameter, **Conservation** costs were allocated to Conservation parameter, and **Meters & Services** were allocated to the Meter Size parameter. Similarly, **Groundwater Pumping** (source of supply) costs were allocated to the system's Base Capacity parameter, which is a measure of the system's average daily usage.

Treatment costs were split between the Base Capacity and Extra Capacity-Max Day parameter. This Base Capacity portion was calculated as the ratio of the Max Day System Water Demands and the Average Day System Water Demands (see the two formulas below and Table 5). $Base \ Capacity = \frac{Average \ Day}{Max \ Day} = 55.6\%$

$$Extra Capacity = 100\% - Base Capacity = 44.4\%$$

Transmission & Distribution and **Storage** costs were split three ways between the system's Base Capacity (average demand), Extra Capacity – Max Day, and Extra Capacity – Max-Hour (see the three formulas below). See **Table 5** for the volumetric relationship between average day, maximum month, maximum day, and maximum hour⁷.

 $Base \ Capacity \ Costs \ = \ \frac{Average \ Day}{Max \ Hour} = 35.7\%$ $Extra \ Capacity \ (Max \ Day) = \ \frac{Max \ Day - Average \ Day}{Max \ Hour} = 28.6\%$ $Max \ Capacity \ (Max \ Hour) = \ \frac{Max \ Hour - Max \ Day}{Max \ Hour} = 35.7\%$

⁷ IBID



Table 4: Mapping Functional Components to System Parameters

Missing from the functional components listed above is **General and Administration** cost, which has been distributed among the other functional components using the indirect cost allocation method.

Table 5: Water System Peaking Profile

	Average Day (HCF/day)	Max Month Average Day (HCF/day)	Max Day (Coincident) (HCF/day)	
Water System Demands	20,398	32,059	36,716	57,114

2.3.1.3 Step 3: Use System Metrics to develop Unit Costs

Next the functionalized costs for operating, debt service and capital spending from **Step 1** were allocated to system parameters based on the values shown in **Table 4.** The System Parameter costs were then converted to a Unit Cost of Service based on the appropriate system metrics. The results are summarized in **Schedule 6** in Appendix B.

For example, **Schedule 6** presents \$2,786,048 in Groundwater costs (row 3) which were 100% allocated to the Base Capacity parameter. Total operating expenses allocated to the Base Capacity parameter equaled \$5,340,972 (row 10). These costs were then converted to unit costs by dividing by the relevant functional units listed in Row 1, which were 7,445,233 hundred cubic feet (HCF) per year in

demand for Base Capacity. In the case of the Base Capacity parameter for operating costs the unit cost was calculated as \$0.72 / HCF (Row 12 and 57). When adding the capital expenses and debt expenses, the total unit cost associated with Base Capacity was \$1.00 / HCF (Row 60).

2.3.1.4 Step 4: Credit Non-Rate Revenue

Non-rate revenue was used to offset the annual cost of service that would otherwise need to be recovered in rates or service charges. Non-rate revenue includes interest income and other operating revenue (such as miscellaneous fees). Non-rate revenues were allocated equitability among customers using the same proportions calculated in previous cost allocations.

The non-rate revenue was credited as shown in below in **Table 6** and yields the total rate revenue requirement. Notice that the Total Costs in **Table 6** match the total costs from **Schedule 6** (row 60).

Total Costs	\$15,577,655	
Change in Fund Balance	-\$2,877,649	
Non-Rate Revenue	-\$883,635	
Rate Revenue Requirement	\$11,816,372	

Table 6: Total Rate Revenue Requirement

Finally, the rate revenue requirement is expressed in terms of System Parameters and by customer class as shown in **Table 7**. In this case, the City only has one primary customer class (Retail) and a secondary customer class for outside city customers. Costs were allocated to those two customer classes based on their respective use of the system (average water usage, peak water usage, number of accounts, etc.).

System Parameters	Total	Retail Inside	Retail Outside*
Base Capacity (Annual Use)	\$5,650,439	\$5,364,316	\$286,122
Extra Capacity (Max Day)	\$2,263,613	\$2,104,206	\$159,407
Extra Capacity (Peak Hour)	\$2,263,908	\$2,129,364	\$134,545
Conservation	\$162,620	\$154,386	\$8,235
Customers	\$119,285	\$114,675	\$4,610
Meter Size	\$1,356,506	\$1,308,169	\$48,337
Total Revenue Requirement:	11,816,372	11,175,116	641,256

Table 7: Total Rate Revenue Requirement by System Parameter

* The costs attributed to outside city customers in this table do not include the outside city surcharge described in Section 2.4

The manner in which the allocated system parameter costs were used in the rate design will be described in Section 2.4.



2.4 RATE STRUCTURE

Upon completion of the COSA, a rate structure analysis was performed to identify potential rate structure modifications and calculate specific rate schedules for implementation in FY 2019. The proposed rate structure was designed to:

- Fairly and equitably recover costs through rates;
- Conform to accepted industry practice and legal requirements;
- Provide fiscal stability and recovery of fixed costs of the system; and
- Promote affordability for customers that try to minimize water usage.

The following sub-sections describe the basis for the recommended rate structure and a specific 4-year rate schedule for implementation on January 1, 2019 (and adjusted every July 1st thereafter). The recommended rate schedules are designed such that each customer class pays its own proportionate share of the cost to provide service.

2.4.1 CURRENT RATES

The City follows a common industry practice with a two-part rate structure that is comprised of a fixed service charge (Base Monthly Charge) and a uniform, consumption-based rate (Volumetric Rate). Recovering a portion of the system costs through a fixed service charge recognizes that utilities incur fixed costs and some of the fixed costs are proportionate to the capacity and size of the water system infrastructure. Ultimately the size and capacity of the infrastructure is driven by peak water demands.

The City's Base Monthly Charge is assessed based on meter size (see Section 2.4.2.1) and currently recovers 77.0% of rate revenue. This fixed charge recovers nearly all of the water utility's fixed costs. The Base Monthly Charge includes an allotment of water usage (between 30 to 50 HCF per month, depending on the size of the meter, see Section 2.4.2.3).

The City's uniform Volumetric Rate is charged for all water usage that is in excess of the base water allotment associated with the Base Monthly Charge.

2.4.2 PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE

This Study recommends implementing the following three changes to the City's water rate structure.

- 4) Update the meter equivalency schedule;
- 5) Identify the <u>specific costs</u> that are designated to be recovered through fixed vs. variable revenue; and
- 6) Adjust the amount of <u>water that is allocated</u> as part of the Base Monthly Charge consistent with the updated meter equivalency schedule.

2.4.2.1 Meter Equivalency Schedule

The meter equivalency metric is an industry-standard factor used to represent the proportional capacity associated with different sizes of meters. A meter equivalency schedule allows for representation of each meter size in terms of multiples of the lowest common denominator (in this case a ³/₄" meter).

The meter equivalency schedule that is inferred from the City's current Base Monthly Charge is not recognized by Stantec as a published or calculated equivalency schedule. This study recommends that it be replaced with a standard meter equivalency table from AWWA's M1 manual as shown in **Table 8**.

Meter Size	Meter Type	GPM *	Meter Equivalence
	D : 1		
3/4"	Displacement	30	1.0
1"	Displacement	50	1.0
1 1/2"	Displacement	100	2.0
2"	Displacement	160	3.2
3"	Compound	320	6.4
4"	Compound	500	10.0
6"	Compound	1,000	20.0
8"	Compound	1,600	32.0
10"	Propeller-Type	2,400	48.0
12"	Propeller-Type	3,375	67.5

Table 8: Meter Equivalencies

* Source: Table B-1, Appendix B, AWWA M1 Manual, 6th Ed.

2.4.2.2 Allocation of Capacity Costs to Fixed vs. Variable Revenue

As summarized in Table 7, the COSA allocated costs to the System Parameters of Base Capacity, Extra Capacity (Max Day), and Extra Capacity (Max Hour). The rate design process then required allocation of those capacity-related costs to either the Base Monthly Charge (fixed revenue) or the Volumetric Rate (variable revenue). Considering the fact that the utility's variable costs are approximately 20% of the operating budget (driven primarily by utility costs at \$1.5 million), this study sought to collect approximately 20% of its revenue from the Volumetric rates. The first step towards achieving this outcome was to assign 60% of all capacityrelated costs to the Base Monthly Charge (\$6.11 million). Of the 40% remaining (\$4.07 million), the portion associated with Base Capacity (\$2.26 million) and Extra Capacity - Max Day (\$905 thousand) were further split between the Base Monthly Charge and the Volumetric Rate based on the amount of water allocated to users under the Base Monthly Charge as opposed to the amount collected through the Volumetric Rates (54.1% and 45.9% respectively, see Section 2.4.2.3). As such, an additional \$1.22 million of the Base Capacity costs and \$489 thousand of the Extra Capacity – Peak Day costs were designed to be collected through the Base Monthly Charge. The 40% of Extra Capacity – Peak Hour costs (\$905 thousand) were designated to be collected through the Volumetric Rates.

This ultimately resulted in 78.4% of the capacity-related costs (or \$7.82 million) being designated for recovery through the Base Monthly Charge.

The Base Monthly Charge was also designed to recover:

- a. Customer costs (\$119 thousand, see Table 7) which were allocated equally among all accounts (regardless of meter size); and
- b. Meter costs (\$1.36 million, see Table 7) which were allocated among all customers based on meter size (in accordance with the meter equivalency schedule).

In total the Base Monthly Charge will recover \$9.29 million in costs.

Finally, the Volumetric Charge will recover the last \$2.52 million of revenue requirements, made up of the remaining 21.6% in capacity-related costs (or \$2.36 million) and the costs associated with Conservation (\$163 thousand).

2.4.2.3 Water Allocation

The City's current Base Monthly Charge includes an allotment of water, above which the user must pay the Volumetric Rate. The allotment is 30 HCF for ³/₄" and 1" meters, 40 HCF for 1 ¹/₂" meters, and 50 HCF for meters 2" and larger. This study is recommending changes to this allocation for two reasons:

- The average water usage for a single-family home, typically having a ³/₄" or 1" meter (and the most populous class of customer), is just under 20 HCF, which means that an allotment of 30 HCF results in most of those customers paying for water that they don't typical need or use.
- 2) The maximum allotment of 50 HCF means that customers with larger meters receive an insignificant allotment of water relative to their consumption.
- 3) The water allotment is paid for by the Base Monthly Charge; therefore, it stands to reason that customers with 12" meters should receive a significantly larger allocation than those with 2" meters.

This report recommends that the water allocation begin with 20 HCF for ³/₄" meters and increase in accordance with the meter equivalency schedule, as summarized in **Table 9**.

Meter Size	Monthly Water Allocation (HCF)
3/4"	20
1"	20
1 1/2"	40
2"	64
3"	128
4"	200
6"	400
8"	640
10"	960
12"	1,350

Table 9: Water Allocation

This change in the water allocation will result in 4.063 million HCF (54.1%) of water being provided through the base water allotment, and 3.453 million HCF (45.9%) being sold at the Volumetric Rate.

2.4.3 PROPOSED BASE MONTHLY CHARGE

Based on the analysis in Section 2.4.2.2 the total costs designated to be collected through the Base Monthly Charge for the test year is \$9.29 million. Of that amount, \$9.17 million is allocated to customers based on meter size and \$119 thousand is allocated per account. Given that there are 25,548 equivalent meters in the system and 21,425 accounts, the resulting unit costs are as follows:

Base Monthly Charge Components

\$0.46 / account

\$29.93 / equivalent meter

The full schedule for the Base Monthly Charge is presented in **Table 10**. The rates for the 4-year planning horizon are presented in **Schedule 7** through **Schedule 10**.

Meter Size	Account Charge	Meter Charge	Total Base Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$0.46	\$29.93	\$30.39
1"	\$0.46	\$29.93	\$30.39
1 1/2"	\$0.46	\$59.86	\$60.32
2"	\$0.46	\$95.78	\$96.24
3"	\$0.46	\$191.55	\$192.01
4"	\$0.46	\$299.30	\$299.76
6"	\$0.46	\$598.60	\$599.06
8"	\$0.46	\$957.76	\$958.22
10"	\$0.46	\$1,436.64	\$1,437.10
12"	\$0.46	\$2,020.28	\$2,020.74

Table 10: Base Monthly Charge (FY 2019)

These proposed rates will modestly reduce the fixed monthly charge for the smallest meters and significantly increase the fixed monthly charge for larger meters.
2.4.4 VOLUMETRIC RATE

Based on the analysis in Section 2.4.2.2 the total costs designated to be collected through the Volumetric Rates is \$2.52 million. It is expected that 3.453 million HCF will be sold at the Volumetric Rate As described in Section 2.4.2.3). Therefore, the Volumetric Rate in FY 2019 is proposed to be \$0.73/HCF. The Volumetric Rates for the 4-year planning horizon are presented in **Schedule 7** through **Schedule 10**.

2.4.5 DROUGHT RATES

As seen most recently between 2011 to 2017, California can be subject to prolonged drought that results in statewide water shortages and water conservation mandates. During these drought periods, water utilities can expect to sell less water and spend more on conservation programs. And while revenues decrease during these periods, water utilities like the City of Merced will not experience a material decrease in the cost of water supply (despite delivering lower volumes) because the City doesn't purchase the water and has limited treatment costs.

As a result of the above, the City can expect to experience revenue shortfalls during significant drought periods. As a result, this Study proposes drought rates that are designed to recover the utility's cost of providing service during those drought periods. The drought rates will be "triggered" only if the City Council formally declares a Stage 3 drought, as described by the City Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). Given that the UWMP described a Stage 3 water shortage as having a water supply reduction of 20% - 35%, this study assumes that the City's water sales would decrease by 20% during a Stage 3 water shortage event.

Using the same rate basis as described in the previous Sections, Stantec calculated the rates that would be necessary in the event that all customers reduce their water usage by 20%. The analysis did not consider the changes to the utility's O&M budget (increases to the conservation program costs or decreases to pumping and treatment costs) since the net change to costs is not clear.

Table 11 summarizes the proposed drought rates for FY 2019. The complete drought rate schedules for the 4-year planning horizon are presented in **Schedule 7** through **Schedule 10**.

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge
A / / //	
3/4"	\$31.10
1"	\$31.10
1 1/2"	\$61.74
2"	\$98.51
3"	\$196.56
4"	\$306.86
6"	\$613.26
8"	\$980.94
10"	\$1,471.18
12"	\$2,068.66
Volumetric Cha	arge: \$0.98 / HCF

Table 11: Drought Rates (FY 2019)

2.4.6 OUTSIDE CITY SURCHARGE

The City of Merced provides water service to retail customers located outside of the City's jurisdictional boundaries. That service provision has a certain level of risk and responsibility. A government-owned utility may be considered to be the property of the citizens within the city. Customers within the city are owner customers, who bear the risks and responsibilities of utility ownership. Inside-city customers cannot "walk away" from the utility, and the utility has a responsibility to develop the system to serve all customers within the jurisdictional boundaries. In contrast, outside-city and wholesale customers are non-owner customers, and as such have no risk, or different risks, from the owner customers. As a consequence, the City may receive a reasonable return on investment for its delivery of services to non-owner customers.

This study recommends calculating the reasonable return on investment on the value of the infrastructure devoted to serving the outside city customers using the methodology described by AWWA's M1 manual⁸. This so-called "utility-basis" approach is consistent with market mechanisms for capital cost recovery including recognition of business-related risks. The risks that are relevant in this case include:

- a. The risk associated with the uncertainty and consequences of unplanned events that result in the inability of the City to meet its financial obligations. The City and its taxpayers are ultimately responsible for paying all operating expenses and capital costs incurred by the City's water utility. By extension, those taxpayers bear the risk of tort liability and civil penalties related to system operations and construction activities.
- b. Committing debt capacity (now or in the future) to finance infrastructure serving outside-city customers may decrease the City's overall capacity to issue future debt for non-water utility purposes.
- c. The extent to which infrastructure for, and delivery of, services to outsidecity customers may increase risks such as the transmission of water to areas where land use development practices are not within the City's jurisdiction and which may engender higher risks of water quality degradation or pipeline failures. By extension, the City must mitigate against this risk with the use of financial instruments such as insurance.

A cost of capital analysis is the generally accepted method for determining an appropriate rate of return, as described by AWWA's M1 manual. In this case, we have considered the City's cost of debt by measuring the imbedded interest rate of outstanding debt. While the M1 manual also recommends a return on equity (which would significantly increase the surcharge on outside city customers), the City has elected to limit the rate of return to the more modest cost of capital. The interest rate on the City's 2012 Series Water Revenue Bond is 3.5%.

According to the City's asset register, the total book value of the water utility is \$47.8 million. The outside city customers are served by 3.56% of the system's

 $^{^{\}rm 8}$ Chapter V.1 in the $6^{\rm th}$ Edition



equivalent meters (902 meter equivalents (MEs) out of a total of 25,299 MEs), therefore the "plant value" attributable to outside city customers is \$1.703 million. Using the cost of capital (3.5% as described above), the annual surcharge from outside city customers should yield \$59,636. Allocating those costs to outside city customers based on meter size and the proposed meter equivalency schedule, the monthly outside city surcharges were calculated and are presented in **Table 12**.

Meter Size	Monthly Charge					
3/4"	\$5.51					
1"	\$5.51					
1 1/2"	\$11.03					
2"	\$17.64					
3"	\$35.28					
4"	\$55.13					
6"	\$110.25					
8"	\$176.40					

Table 12: Recommended Outside City Surcharge

2.4.7 PRIVATE FIRE RATES

When calculating the rates for private fire service (accounts that have a dedicated service line for fire protection), this Study first calculated the total cost of fire protection within the City's entire water system. This was accomplished by calculating the Base Capacity required by the fire system⁹ and the peak capacity requirements of the water system¹⁰. The total cost allocated to fire protection (both public and private) was \$1.007 million.

The next step was to split the total fire protection costs between the public fire system and the private fire systems. This was done by allocating the costs based

¹⁰ Based on the assumption that the system was designed to be able to fight two simultaneous fires in the same pressure zone at a total flow rate of 3,000 gallons per minutes and for a total duration of 2 hours.



⁹ The Base Capacity is also known as the average usage of water. Since fire systems don't meter water usage, this Study adopted a guideline published by AWWA that used for fire systems typically use 1% of water flows.

on the relative number of equivalent connections for each system. The public fire system is made up of 3,035 hydrants, while the network of private connections consists of 260 total connections of different sizes. The size equivalency was calculated using a Demand Factor¹¹ for different connection sizes similar to the meter equivalency factor described in Section 2.4.2.1. The equivalent connections total 337,829 (88.7%) for the public system and 43,154 (11.3%) private connections. This results in \$114,123 being allocated to the private fire connections. **Table 13** shows the proposed monthly Private Fire Charge schedule.

Size of Connection	Demand Factor ⁽¹⁾	Proposed Monthly Charge
2"	6.19	\$1.36
3"	17.98	\$3.96
4"	38.32	\$8.44
6"	111.31	\$24.53
8"	237.21	\$52.28
10"	426.58	\$94.01
12"	689.04	\$151.85
Fire Hydrant	68.91	\$15.19

Table	13:	Private	Fire	Charges
-------	-----	---------	------	---------

⁽¹⁾ Based on AWWA's practice of estimating the relative flow through pressure conduits as the diameter raised to power of 2.63.

2.4.8 BACKFLOW PREVENTION RATES

The City requires the installation of backflow prevention assemblies whenever a potential hazard is present within a consumer's premises. Backflow is the undesirable reversal of flow of non-potable water or other substances through a cross-connection and into the piping of a public water system or consumer's

¹¹ The Demand Factors are based on AWWA's practice of estimating the relative flow through pressure conduits as the diameter raised to power of 2.63.

potable water system. Accounts that pose a risk of backflow are required to install backflow assemblies to protect the public water supply.

The backflow program requires the City to regularly inspect and maintain backflow devices. The Study found that the Backflow Program requires 2.5 FTEs. At a burdened annual salary of \$93,600 the total labor costs for the program are \$234,000. The Backflow Program also requires two trucks, which represent \$16,000 in depreciation expense. With a total program cost of \$250,000 and 2,383 units, **the calculated monthly Backflow Prevention Rate is \$8.75**.



2.5 SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RATES

This Report used methodologies that are aligned with industry standard practices for rate setting as promulgated by AWWA and all applicable laws, including California's Proposition 218. The proposed adjustments to the rates (see Section 2.2.2) will provide revenue stability and continue to equitably and proportionately recover costs from the customers. A complete schedule of rates over the 4-year planning period are summarized in Appendix C (Schedule 7 through Schedule 10).



Section 3. CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGE STUDY

This Capital Facility Charge study summarizes the findings and recommendations from Stantec's independent review of the City's Capital Facility Charge for new connections to the City's water system. A Capital Facility Charge is a one-time charge paid by a new utility customer to purchase system capacity in order to join the system¹². This Report discusses the regulatory requirements, computational methods, and the approach used to compute the proposed Capital Facility Charge schedule.

3.1 CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGES GENERALLY

Capital Facility Charges are legally referred to as "capacity charges" in California state law and are also known as "developer impact fees" and "system development charges" (among other terms). A capacity charge is a one-time fee that recovers the proportional costs associated with the system capacity necessary to serve that new customer. The primary objective of establishing a fullcost recovery capacity charge is to provide a mechanism by which new water system users can pay for the cost of the infrastructure and water resources required to serve them without burdening existing users. This is often referred to as a policy of "growth pays for growth".

It is important to distinguish between the Capital Facility Charge and connection fees. Connection fees are used to recover the costs associated with the physical connection of the distribution system to lateral connections and meters and can be thought of as "plumbing charges". The scope of this study is limited to a review of the Capital Facility Charge.

¹² Capital Facility Charges are also assessed to existing customers requiring increased system capacity.



3.2 APPLICABLE LAW

California enacted statutes in 1987 which created procedural and substantive requirements related to the calculation, adoption, administration and enforcement of capacity charges. The basic statutory standards governing water system capacity charges are embodied in the Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code 66000-66025). Government Code 66013 requires that capacity charges must be based on an estimate of the reasonable cost of providing capacity. Furthermore, whenever capacity charges must be paid to a utility as a condition to the approval of a development project, the utility must identify the purpose of the charge and the public facilities to be financed. Put another way, capacity charges may only recover the costs incurred to expand the utility system, which means that capacity charges cannot be used to defray the costs to repair system deficiencies.

In support of this applicable law, this Report specifically:

- 1. Identifies the purpose of the Capital Facility Charge;
- 2. Shows a reasonable relationship between the amount of the Capital Facility Charge and the cost of building new capacity to serve future customers: and
- 3. Identifies the uses to which the Capital Facility Charge will be put by identifying the needed facilities listed in the City's capital improvement plan (CIP).

More generally, this Report satisfies the "rational nexus" criteria that is generally applied to these types of charges by:

- a. Demonstrating the connection between new development (water connections) and the need to expand or build facilities to accommodate it.
- b. Not exceeding the new development's proportional share of the cost of facilities needed to serve that development; and
- c. Not being arbitrary or discriminatory in the application of fees for individuals or customer classes.

3.3 EXISTING CAPACITY CHARGES

The last Capital Facility Charge study was conducted by a consultant for the City in 2012. It is appropriate to periodically update capacity charges to recognize that the cost of infrastructure changes over time. In reviewing the 2012 report, Stantec found the methodology to simply rely on the number of equivalent meters in the system to measure the system capacity. Our recommendation is to follow a more robust and engineering-based methodology for calculating the system's capacity.

A note on "Frontage Fees" – the Merced city code includes a "frontage fee" rate that is charged to certain properties depending on the location. This frontage fee is not used to pay for system capacity and is not part of this current study.

3.4 INCREMENTAL APPROACH COMPONENT

The capacity charge methods that are described by AWWA's M1 manual include:

- 1. **Buy-in approach** The capacity charge is calculated to recover prior spending on infrastructure that still has reserve capacity. This approach is appropriate when a utility has excess capacity and room for new customers;
- Incremental approach The capacity charge is calculated to recover projected spending on capital projects to increase system capacity. This approach is appropriate when a utility has limited remaining capacity and must build new capacity in order to accommodate new customers.

As described in Section 3.3, this study has found that the City's water system is close to its designed capacity; therefore, the <u>incremental approach</u> is the appropriate methodology. The incremental approach uses cost estimates for growth-related projects in order to determine the cost of expanding the system. The cost of each project and the capacity associated with the projects allows for calculation of the unit-cost of capacity expansion. Finally, the Capital Facility Charge is established by applying the unit cost to the design capacity for new connections and scaled according to the meter equivalency schedule previously outline in **Table 8**.

3.5 CURRENT WATER SYSTEM CAPACITY

The City's 2014 Water Master Plan shows that the maximum capacity of the existing groundwater wells (based on pump tests) is 48,905¹³ gallons per minute (gpm) or 70.42 million gallons per day (mgd). However, to remain conservative in the evaluation of system capacity, the City's system capacity drops to 64.66 mgd in the event that the largest pump is offline.

The current average demand of the water system is 23.1 mgd. Multiplying the average demand by the maximum hour peaking factor (2.8^{14}) the peak hour demand on the pumping system reaches 64.74 mgd.

Given the fact that the maximum capacity of the groundwater pumping system (minus one pump) is nearly the same as the peak demand of the system, for purposes of this study the water system was deemed to be operating at its full capacity, and therefore the incremental approach was appropriate. This study also assumed that the groundwater pumping capacity is proportionate to the capacity of the transmission and distribution system.

3.6 GROWTH-RELATED PROJECTS

The planned growth-related capital projects for the City's water system are summarized in **Table 14**¹⁵. Four new wells are to be installed (Wells 20, 21, 22, & 23) with each providing approximately 2,500 gpm (or 3.6 mgd) in additional peak pumping capacity, for a total of 14.4 mgd. The increase in capacity was assumed to be the same for the transmission/distribution system.

¹³ 2014 Water Master Plan, Table 3-1, AECOM

^{14 2014} Water Master Plan, Table 4-3, AECOM

¹⁵ These projects are tentatively planned, subject to whether growth occurs.

Project Name	Groundwater Pumping	Transmission / Distribution
Water Well #20	\$524,812	\$0
Water Meter Installation	\$2,372,415	\$0
Water Well 21 Bellevue & G Street	\$3,823,456	\$0
Well Site#2 Wellhead Treatment	\$3,125,000	\$0
Well Site #7 Wellhead Treatment	\$2,500,000	\$0
Water Well # 22 Location TBD	\$2,750,000	\$0
Water Well # 23 Location TBD	\$2,750,000	\$0
Well Sites Land Acquisition Locations TBD	\$4,960,000	\$0
Restricted Water Wells PTBD	\$1,536,742	\$0
Water Well 20 Bellevue West	\$0	\$132,777
12 Inch Water Main	\$0	\$380,000
Pressure Sustaining Valve #3	\$0	\$100,000
Restricted Water Main Fund PTBD	\$0	\$2,900,387
Total:	\$21,445,198	\$3,513,164

Table 14: 5-Year Capital Improvement Program (growth projects only)

3.7 CALCULATION OF CHARGE

In order to calculate the cost of the system capacity that is attributed to new customers, the capacity associated with new customers was determined based on information provided by the City. In accordance with the City's 2014 Water Master Plan, the average residential water use (hereafter equivalent residential unit or "ERU") is 1,643 gpd¹⁶. For purposes of this study, 1 ERU was assumed to be equivalent to a 1" meter. Using the max day peaking factor this yielded 3,121 gpd per ERU in additional capacity needs for new connections.

To calculate the cost for providing system capacity to a new ERU, charges were calculated for both the Groundwater Pumping and the Transmission/Distribution system. It was assumed that the groundwater pumping system will be needed for

¹⁶ Converted form 1.84 acre-feet per year for low density residential use per the 2014 Water Master Plan, Table 4-4.



average day demands (7.58 mgd) while the Transmission/Distribution system will be used for the full peak day capacity (14.40 mgd).

Dividing the average day capacity of the new pumps (7.58 mgd) by the average day demand of an ERU (1,643 gpd), resulted in 4,614 ERUs that could be served by the new pumps could serve. Dividing the capital costs associated with the Groundwater Pumping (\$23.3 million) by the ERUs to be served yielded \$5,276 per ERU for the groundwater pumping component of the Capital Facility Charge.

Similarly, dividing the peak day capacity of the new Transmission/Distribution system (14.40 mgd) by the peak day demand of an ERU (3,121 gpd) indicated the new transmission system could also serve 4,614 ERUs. Dividing the capital costs associated with the Transmission/Distribution system (\$3.5 million) by the ERUs to be served yielded \$761 per ERU for the Transmission/Distribution component of the Capital Facility Charge.

Adding these two components together produced a total charge of \$6,037 per ERU. That rate is scale based on the meter equivalency schedule to establish a schedule of Capital Facility Charges for varying meter sizes (see Table 15).

Meter Size	Capital Facility Charge
1"	\$6,037
1.5"	\$12,074
2"	\$19,318
3"	\$38,637
4"	\$60,370
6"	\$120,740
8"	\$193,184
10"	\$289,776
12"	\$407,498

Table 15: Proposed Capital Facility Charge

3.8 FIRE FLOW

In addition to a customer's peak demands for water consumption, the water system needs to be sized to accommodate fire flow requirements. The system's

standard fire flow requirement for a typical account is 1,500 gpm for a duration of 2 hours (in addition to the system's normal peak demand). Some accounts require either a higher flow or a longer duration, or a combination of the two (for example 2,500 gpm for a duration of 4 hours), as determined by the fire department. There are costs associated with that additional capacity, specifically in the form of larger transmission mains and increased storage.

This study recommends that the capacity associated with the standard fire flow requirement (1,500 gpm for 2 hours) be excluded from the capacity charge for the simple reason that the fire flow requirements are largely to support the public fire system (fire hydrants), which is shared by all customers and supported by water rates.

Consistent with the City's historical practice, customers that have a higher fire flow requirement will pay for the incremental cost of the extra fire flow capacity needed to serve them. This Fire Flow Charge will be based on the incremental increase in fire flow requirements above those of a "standard" account (a 1" meter). Thus, the incremental Fire Flow Charge will be calculated as follows:

$$= \left[\left(\frac{Fire \ Flow \ Req't \ (gpm) \times \ Duration \ (hours)}{1,500 \ gpm \ \times \ 2 \ hours} \right) - 1 \right]$$

$$\times \ Capital \ Facility \ Charge \ for \ 1" \ Meter$$

The revenue from these fees will contribute towards the cost of system capacity associated with satisfying higher fire flow requirements (larger transmission mains and reservoirs).

3.9 INDEXING THE CAPITAL FACILITY CHARGE

The cost and value of infrastructure increases every year due to escalation/inflation. As such, Stantec recommends that the City continue to adjust the Capital Facility Charge schedule by an amount equal to the percent change in the All Urban Consumers Index, U.S. City Average (USCA), as supplied by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The updated Capital Facility Charge should be computed as follows:

 $Capital \ Facility \ Charge = \frac{Then - current \ CPI \ Index}{2018 \ CPI \ Index} x2018 \ Capital \ Facility \ Charge$

DISCLAIMER

This document was produced by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. ("Stantec") for the City of Merced ("City") and is based on a specific scope agreed upon by both parties. In preparing this report, Stantec utilized information and data obtained from the City or public and/or industry sources. Stantec has relied on the information and data without independent verification, except only to the extent such verification is expressly described in this document. Any projections of future conditions presented in the document are not intended as predictions, as there may be differences between forecasted and actual results, and those differences may be material.

Additionally, the purpose of this document is to summarize Stantec's analysis and findings related to this project, and it is not intended to address all aspects that may surround the subject area. Therefore, this document may have limitations, assumptions, or reliances on data that are not readily apparent on the face of it. Moreover, the reader should understand that Stantec was called on to provide judgments on a variety of critical factors which are incapable of precise measurement. As such, the use of this document and its findings by the City should only occur after consultation with Stantec, and any use of this document and findings by any other person is done so entirely at their own risk.



APPENDIX A: RSA SCHEDULES

- Schedule 1 Budgeted and Projected Cash Inflows
- Schedule 2 Budgeted and Projected Cash Outflows
- Schedule 3 Capital Improvement Program
- Schedule 4 Cash Flow Proforma



Schedule 1 – Budgeted and Projected Cash Inflows

		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Water Rate Revenue	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 12,240,204	\$ 12,735,744	\$ 13,120,364	\$ 13,516,599	\$ 13,651,765	\$ 13,788,283	\$ 13,926,165	\$ 14,065,427	\$ 14,206,081	\$ 14,348,142
2	Other Operating Income	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769
3	Interest Income	346,669	200,034	190,394	184,742	179,806	174,250	154,765	139,312	108,088	60,415	-
4	Capital Facility Charges	911,249	1,363,659	1,346,161	1,359,622	1,373,219	1,386,951	1,400,820	1,414,828	1,428,977	1,443,267	1,457,699
5	Restricted Interest Income	272,119	35,820	29,742	24,494	35,790	30,152	16,615	19,527	22,566	25,640	28,748
6	Total Revenue	\$ 13,789,806	\$ 14,099,487	\$ 14,561,810	\$ 14,948,992	\$ 15,365,183	\$ 15,502,887	\$ 15,620,252	\$ 15,759,602	\$ 15,884,827	\$ 15,995,171	\$ 16,094,358



Appendix B

Schedule 2 – Budgeted and Projected Cash Outflows

budget Forecal Forecal <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>														
Water System-Entropics Fund LS71 Space 100 Solutions \$ 2020(201 \$ 203,842 \$ 2148,198 \$ 2170,466 \$ 2170,466 \$ 2201,354 \$ 2208,897 \$ 11,848 11 2 S571106-S31.40 Equip Covertime 9,869 10,151 10,419 10,449 10,447 10,447 \$ 10,577 \$ 11,418 11 3 S571106-S31.40 Equip Covertime 55,110 52,337 \$ 23,857 \$ 23,8	FY 2027 FY 202	FY 2026	FY 2026	FY 2024 FY 2025	FY 2024	FY 2023	FY 2022	FY 2021	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2018			
1 557-1106-531-0 Eronelpar Science \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 \$ 2.007.204 1.004.23 1004.23 1004.23 1004.23 1004.23 1004.23 100.203	Forecast Foreco	Forecast	Forecast F	Forecast Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Budget			
2 557-1106-531-30-2 finite help 9,869 10,151 10,149 10,443 10,419 10,449 10,449 10,449 10,443 10,419 10,419 10,449 10,449 10,419 10,419 10,419 10,419 10,419 10,419 10,419 10,449 10,449 10,419													Water System-Enterprise Fund (557)	
3 557-1106-531.4-1 Regular Överline 95.119 77.839 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 100.423 105.127 110.050 133.05 337.1 3.721 </td <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>												\$		1
4 557-1106-331.44 Cuil Back time Worked 25,183 22,687 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 26,587 40,413 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,130 150,110		11,953												
5 557-1106-331.102 Unique disci leove 3.224 3.221 3.211 3.221 3.231 3.211 3.221 3.231 3.211 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.221 3.211		115,205												
6 557-1106-531.105 Servite-Social Scurity-OASD 112,708 114,870 125,212 136,976 136,976 143,213 155,113 155,72 557-1106-531.10 / Social Security-AdsCincer 31,084 31,974 32,277 33,400 33,916 34,038 35,564 37,334 39,1 10 557-1106-531.10 / Social Security-AdsCincer 31,974 32,277 33,400 33,916 34,038 35,564 37,334 39,1 10 557-1106-531.10.21 Strame-HERS New Membr 43,327 57,357 75,355 7,335 7,335 7,335 7,335 7,336 82,377 114 14,4314 44,314 44,314 45,314 14,44314 45,314 141 144 144 144 53,510-531.10,221 Strame Denefit 7,317 420,42 135 135 135 141 144 144 144 144 144 143,513 157,1106-531.10,221 Strame Denefits 42,244 44,333 157,1106-311,513 157,1106-311,513 157,1106-311,513 157,1106-311,513 157,1106-311,513 157,1106-311,513<		30,500												
7 57-105-331.04 Social So		4,269 157,161												
8 557-1106-531.1-07 Social Socurity-Medicare 31,024 31,974 33,227 33,240 33,141 34,028 35,544 37,734 37,234 37,7334 37,7334 37,7334 37,77 10 557-1106-531.1-07 Stond by Pay 60,717 96,117 100,107 101,4785 10.14,713 10.10 15 557-110-6531.1-03 Stontemon Benefits 45,244 54,854 30.60,10 34,4752 39,732 44,754 11.72,788 11.77,724 18.33 10.14 11.83 10.14 11.83 10.14 11.83 10.12 10.12 10		166,370												
9 57:10.537:10.10 Refinement-PERS New Membra 43.392 - 194 790 2.6.61 2.940 3.078 3.222 3.3 10 557:10.531.10-12 Xincets Compensation 94.429 50.539 57.116 66.134 16.6.134 110.370 114.785 120.161 125.797 13 11 557:110.531.10-12 Xincets Compensation 9.60.21 7.317 6.52.59 4.314 4.4.314 4.4.314 4.4.314 4.4.315 7.335		39,083										re		
11 557-1106-531.10-12 Stored Benefit 7.137 602.17 98.171 102.0207 106.134 110.370 114.785 112.0.161 125.789 103.7 12 557-1106-531.10-22 Unifrom Clearning 9.062 9.062 9.062 9.062 9.062 9.064 9.061 9.062 9.063 9.074 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.053 10.0		3,373								-				
12 557-1106-531.10-22 Expresed Benefit 7.137 62.629 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 64.314 7.325 7.355 7.535 <		77,992						65,109				n	10 557-1106-531.10-12 Workers Compensation	10
12 557-1106-5311-022 Hilngud Pay Program - 7,341 7,535 7,537 1,527 1,527 1,527		131,681												
13 557-1106-331.022 Vnförm Cleaning 9.062 9.052 9.062		73,781									7,137			
14 557-1106-531.02 215 122 123		8,644									-			
15 557-1106-531.10-33 Core Allowance 589.89 577.043 622,03 657,410 706,414 739,501 774,137 810,0 16 557-1106-531.10-35 Retirement IVAL Misc 210,424 254,854 305,610 344,952 395,322 440,754 461,398 483,008 505,0 17 557-1106-532.1-0 Utilities 1,490,491 1,435,200 1,577,561 1,772,724 1,833,116 1,888,2 20 557-1106-532.1-0 Potstoge 52,582 54,157 55,744 57,483 57,100 44,577 47,762 49,195 50,00 21 557-1106-532.1-0 Potstoge 52,582 54,157 55,744 57,483 57,100 44,370 1,279,407 1,259,989 1,240 41,8389 1,219,407 1,224,417,124 49,195 50,00 2,255 55,71106-532.1-0 Potssional Services 1,031 1,043 1,129,407 1,224,417,144 49,195 1,144 40,230 1,124 1,154 1,124 1,154 1,124 1,154 1,154 1,154 1,154 1,219,407 1,224,417,49 1,230 1,124 1,567 1,564 2,597,55		10,396 155												
16 557-1106-531.10-32 fresherment UAL Misc 210,424 54,855 58,289 62,005 66,029 70,384 73,681 77,132 80,000 17 557-1106-531.10-73 & territement UAL Misc 210,424 248,454 305,610 344,952 395,522 440,754 44,0398 483,008 505,100 106-532.10-70 Elephone 13,982 14,401 14,834 15,279 15,737 16,655 17,192 15,737 16,655 17,192 42,736 44,464 64,657 64,695 64,029 64,019 55,71106-532.140 Printing 40,000 42,436 43,707 45,724 20,333 20,337 21,359 22,000 22,24 55,71106-532.140 Printing 17,888 18,425 18,777 19,447 20,133 20,737 21,359 22,000 22,24 135,810 10,616 1,109,401 1,158 1,159 1,144,408 1,161,530 1,146,401 1,159 1,159 1,144 1,230 1,23 1,550 1,565 55,71106-532.12-00 Brinting Expense 1,500 1,564 1,613 1,616 1,111 1,762 18,38 18,894 19,9		810.395										ve		
17 557-1106-532.11-0 Utilities 1.490.49 1.532.60 1.628.700 1.677.561 1.677.571 1.667.		80,744										əfits		
19 557-1106-532.120 Lightlines 1,490,491 1,533.200 1,627,561 1,272,888 1,779,724 1,833.116 1,883 20 557-1106-532.130 Postage 52,582 54,159 55,784 57,488 59,182 60,957 62,786 64,649 64,697 21 557-1106-532.140 Adverting 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,000 44,371 47,762 49,195 50,000 23 557-1106-532.140 Adverting 10,058,017 11,088,407 1,149,408 1,133.30 12,19,407 12,258,99 1,223,49 1,156,1 1,132,10 25 557-1106-532.190 Mikeoige 1,000 1,030 1,061 1,073 1,158,33 18,888 18,940 19,9 25 557-1106-532.200 Mikeoige 1,500 1,646 1,413 1,61 1,11 1,722 1,818 1,8940 19,9 25 557-1106-532.200 Mikeoige 1,500 1,646 1,413 1,61 1,11 1,722 1,815 1,869 1,33 25 557-1106-532.200 Mikeoige 2,530 5,60 64,113 1,616		505,631										51115		
21 557-1106-532.13-0 Postroge 52.582 54.159 55.784 57.488 59.182 60.957 62.786 64.649 66. 25 557-1106-532.14-0 Adventing 17.888 18.425 118.977 19.547 20.133 20.737 21.359 22.000 22. 24 557-1106-532.14-0 Printing Protesional Services 1.051.871 10.88.271 1.115.930 1.04.940 1.251.940 1.224 1.15.64 1.123 25 557-1106-532.20-0 Mining Expense 1.5.400 1.5.862 1.6.338 16.828 17.333 17.853 18.388 18.940 19.9 26 557-1106-532.20-0 Mining Expense 1.5.400 1.5.862 1.6.138 16.828 17.333 17.853 18.388 18.940 19.9 26 557-1106-532.20-0 Mining Expense 1.5.400 1.5.862 1.0.13 1.6.61 1.7.11 1.7.62 1.815.930 1.6.64 1.6.13 1.6.61 1.7.11 1.7.62 1.81.940 19.9 21.0.63 0.65.257 0.65.254 70.5.814 72.9.988 37.480 37.43 37.5.10.6.532.250 0.6.61 <		1,888,109	1,888,109	1,779,724 1,833,116	8 1,779,724	1,727,888	1,677,561	1,628,700	1,581,262	1,535,206	1,490,491			19
22 557-1106-5321-40-Advertising 40,000 41,200 42,434 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50,022 23 557-1106-5321,7-0 Professional Services 1,051,871 1,183,407 1,115,930 1,149,408 1,183,890 1,219,407 1,225,599 1,224 11,561 111,224 25 557-1106-532,219-0 Mileage 1,000 1,030 1,061 1,073 1,126 1,159 1,124 11,561 112,24 25 557-1106-532,219-0 Mileage 1,5,00 1,586 1,6,13 1,661 1,711 1,762 1,815 1,869 1,151 27 557-1106-532,22-10 Vehicle Operations/ Moint 250,925 256,433 266,206 274,193 226,418 290,691 299,418 308,606 317,72,428 25 1106-532,22-10 Vehicle Operations/ Moint 15,19 20,105 20,708 21,22 21,969 22,628 23,07 24,006 24,43 25 1106-532,22-10 Vehicle Equipment 0.8 M Vices 608,814 62,710 665,27	12 18,243 18	17,712	17,712	16,695 17,196	9 16,695	16,209	15,737	15,279	14,834	14,401	13,982		20 557-1106-532.12-0 Telephone	
23 557-1106-532:1-0 Priorlessional Services 1,051,871 1,083,427 1,115 301 1,149,408 1,183,890 1,219,407 1,255,989 1,224 1,323,224 25 557-1106-532:10-0 Dirave Land Meetings 9,400 9,682 9,972 10,273 1,126 1,159 1,194 1,230 1,32 26 557-1106-532:10-0 Dirave Land Meetings 9,400 1,682 16,238 16,628 17,333 17,833 18,388 18,400 19,24 27 557-1106-532:20-0 Diraving Expense 1,540 1,586 1,633 16,628 17,733 17,833 18,388 18,940 19,23 27 557-1106-532:20-0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 250,252 256,453 266,026 27,119 22,2418 290,891 299,618 308,606 317,7 20 557-1106-532:20-0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 250,726 255,845 70,652,77 652,556 70,5814 772,698 748,798 771,7 23 557-1106-532:240 Other Kalts & Svcc 60,841 62,716 64,5970 627,4979 28,288 34,078 350,00 36,133 377,41 34,453		66,609												
24 557-1106-532:1-70 Professional Services 1,051,871 1,033,427 1,115,900 1,272 10,580 1,279,407 1,255,989 1,235,649 1,322 25 557-1106-532:10-70 roining Expense 1,000 1,030 1,061 1,093 1,126 1,129 1,124 1,123 1,123 27 557-1106-532:20-10 roining Expense 15,400 15,842 1,633 1,642 1,733 17,853 18,388 18,409 1,17 28 557-1106-532:20-10 remtic/Leases 1,520 1,564 1,611 1,711 1,722 1,815 1,869 1,17 29 557-1106-532:20-10 remtic/Leases 1,520 2,666 27,4193 282,418 290,691 299,618 308,606 317,4 20 557-1106-532:20-10 remtic/Leases 1,417 14,599 645,597 645,297 655,267 658,256 705,8114 726,988 74,797 382,34 21 557-1106-532:20-10 remtic/Leases 24,315 251,644 15,464 15,490 16,422 16,423		50,671												
25 557-1106-332.12-0 Mieoge 1,000 1,000 1,061 1,093 1,124 1,124 1,120 1,12 26 557-1106-332.12-0 Mieoge 15,400 1,584 1,638 1,638 1,733 17,853 18,388 18,390 19,2 28 557-1106-532.23.0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 20,025 28,8453 266,206 274,193 28,2418 200,891 29,618 308,606 317,43 30 557-1106-532.23.0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 20,025 28,8453 266,206 274,193 28,2418 200,891 29,618 308,606 317,71 31 557-1106-532.250 Other Equipment O & M 65,009 66,599 68,586 71,037 73,168 75,333 77,624 79,753 82,35 31 557-1106-532.250 Other Maintenace 24,335 251,644 29,194 246,670 274,979 283,282 29,172 30,473 35,351 36,510 36,153 337,35 357,1106-532.240 364,471 15,451 12,447 17,75 18,634 140,436 144,437 15,251 144 28,919 283,228 29,172<		22,660												
25 557-1106-532.21-0 Mileoge 1000 1061 1093 1126 1159 1194 1200 27 557-1106-532.21-0 Rents/Leases 15,400 15,862 16,338 16,628 17,333 17,853 18,388 18,940 19.2 28 557-1106-532.21-0 Rents/Leases 1,520 1.566 1,613 1,661 1,711 1,762 1,815 1,869 12.7 29 557-1106-532.21-0 Memberships, Subscription 19,519 20,105 20,708 21,329 21,969 22,628 23,307 24,006 247,173 31 557-1106-532.24-0 Othert Equipment O & M 66,509 66,959 68,968 71,037 73,168 75,624 79,953 82,33 357-1106-532.24-0 Othert Equipment O & M 65,009 66,959 68,968 71,037 73,168 75,624 79,953 82,74 135,357 106,432.27-0 Small Tools 14,171 14,596 15,304 15,485 15,950 16,428 16,921 17,429 17,493 3557-1106-532.49-0 Othert Auteritals		1,332,479												
257-1106-532.20-0 Training Expense 15,400 15,862 16,338 16,828 17,333 17,853 18,388 18,940 19,2 28 557-1106-532.21-0 Rents/Leases 1,520 1,566 1,613 1,661 1,711 1,762 1,815 1,869 1,7,33 30 557-1106-532.23-0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 250,925 258,453 266,206 274,173 282,418 290,891 299,618 308,606 317,4 31 557-1106-532.24-0 Maintenance Matts & Xvcs 608,841 627,106 645,919 645,979 645,256 705,814 726,988 77,624 79,953 82,7 31 557-1106-532.24-0 Other Equipment O & M 650,009 66,959 68,968 71,037 73,168 75,343 77,624 79,953 82,7 34 557-1106-532.24-0 Other Materials Supplies 29,396 30,278 31,186 32,122 33,085 34,078 35,010 36,153 37,7 39,553 557,1106-532,23-0 Other Materials Supplies 244,315 251,444 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,477 309,477 309,477 </td <td></td> <td>1,267</td> <td></td>		1,267												
28 557-1106-532.24-0 Rents/Tileocises 1,520 1,564 1,613 1,641 1,711 1,742 1,815 1,869 117 29 557-1106-532.24-0 Venicle Operations/Maint 250,925 258,453 266.206 274,193 282,418 290,891 299,618 308,606 317,4 31 557-1106-532,24-0 Memberships, Subscription 19,519 20,105 20,708 21,329 21,969 22,628 23,307 24,006 24,006 24,006 21,329 21,969 22,628 765,814 726,988 748,798 771,106 332,571 1106-532,240 Other Equipment O & M 65,009 66,959 68,968 71,037 73,168 75,353 77,624 79,953 82,33 557-1106-532,200 Other Equipment O & M 65,009 30,278 31,186 52,332 74,078 23,137 31,853 17,624 16,921 17,429 17,73 35 557-1106-532,300 Dept Share of Insurance 114,187 112,141 124,775 128,518 36,97,616		19,508												
29 557-1106-532.23-0 Vehicle Operations/Maint 280,925 258.433 246,206 274,193 282,418 290,891 299,618 308,606 317,7 30 557-1106-532,22-0 Maintenance Matts & Svcs 608,841 627,106 645,297 685,256 705,814 726,988 748,798 771,73 31 557-1106-532,22-0 Small Tools 141,171 14,596 15,034 15,485 15,950 16,428 16,921 17,424 17,724 17,73 34 557-1106-532,29-0 Small Tools 141,171 14,596 15,034 15,485 15,950 16,428 16,921 17,424 17,724 17,73 35 557-1106-532,29-0 Safety Supplies 29,396 30,278 31,186 32,122 33,085 34,078 35,00 36,153 37,7 36 557-1106-532,39-0 Upet Naterie of Insurance 114,187 117,613 121,141 124,775 128,518 136,345 144,043 144,8417 152,887 144,044 148,417 152,887 144,044 148,417 152,870		1,925												
31 557-1106-532.25-0 Maintenance Adits & Svics 608.841 627.106 645.919 665.257 705.814 725.988 748.798 771.1 32 557-1106-532.26-0 Other Equipment O & M 65.009 68,968 71.037 73.168 75.363 77.624 79.953 82.7 33 557-1106-532.26-0 Strept Supplies 29.396 30.276 31.186 32.122 33.085 34.078 35.100 36.153 37.7 34 557-1106-532.29-O Other Materials Supplies 29.396 30.278 31.186 32.122 33.085 34.078 35.100 36.153 37.7 35 557-1106-532.30-1 Oept Share of Insurance 114.187 117.613 121.141 124.775 128.518 332.374 136.345 140.436 144.33 35 557-1106-532.35-48 fetro Fee Expense 156 161 166 170 176 181 186 192 44.97 152.875 48.379 357.1106-532.35-90 Focilities Maint Charge 64.537 66.575 68.573 70.631 72.757 74.937 77.180 79.495 81.4 35 557-1106-532.45-0 F		317,864	317,864			290,891					250,925	aint		29
32 557-1106-532.26-0 Other Equipment O & M 65,009 66,959 68,968 71,037 73,168 75,363 77,624 79,953 82,2 33 557-1106-532.26-0 Safety Supplies 29,996 30,278 31,186 32,122 33,085 34,078 35,100 36,153 37,7 35 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 24,315 251,644 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,477 309,74 36 557-1106-532.30-1 Dept Share of Insurance 114,187 117,613 121,11 124,775 128,518 132,374 136,345 140,436 144,437 37 557-1106-532.30-1 Vehicle Replacement Fee 318,834 328,399 338,251 348,399 358,850 369,616 380,704 392,126 403,43 38 557-1106-532.45-0 Sacolity Supplies 124,297 128,026 131,867 135,823 139,897 144,094 148,417 152,870 157,7 39 557-1106-532,45-0 Sacolity Supplies 28,286 29,135 30,009 30,909 31,836 32,771 33,775 34,788 36,416<	26 25,468 26	24,726	24,726	23,307 24,006	8 23,307	22,628	21,969	21,329		20,105	19,519	tion	30 557-1106-532.24-0 Memberships, Subscription	30
33 557-1106-532.27-0 Small Tools 14,171 14,596 15,034 15,485 15,950 16,428 16,921 17,429 17,734 34 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 29,396 30,278 31,186 32,122 33,085 34,078 35,100 36,153 37,73 35 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 244,315 251,644 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,477 309,73 35 557-1106-532.29-0 Vehicle Replacement Fee 318,834 322,399 338,251 348,399 358,850 369,616 380,704 392,126 403,3 38 557-1106-532.39-0 Support Services 124,297 128,026 131,867 135,823 139,897 144,094 148,417 152,860 157,403 39 557-1106-532,450-Oracillites Maint Charge 64,637 66,576 68,573 70,431 72,750 74,932 77,180 79,495 81,1 41 557-1106-534,91-2 Adm Exp-City Manager 99,171 102,146 105,211 108,367 111,618 114,666 118,415 121,968 125,		771,262												
34 557-1106-532.28-0 Safety Supplies 29,396 30,278 31,186 32,122 33,085 34,078 35,100 36,153 37,1 35 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 244,315 251,644 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,477 309,73 36 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 244,315 251,644 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,473 309,436 36 557-1106-532.32-0 Vehicle Replacement Fee 318,834 328,399 338,251 348,399 358,850 369,616 380,704 392,126 403,4 37 557-1106-532,45-0 Soupport Services 124,297 128,026 131,867 135,823 139,897 144,074 148,17 152,870 157,7 40 557-1106-532,45-0 Computer Replacement Chrg 28,286 29,135 30,009 30,909 31,836 32,791 33,775 34,788 351,44 45 557-1106-533,43-0 Machinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,752 49,195 <t< td=""><td></td><td>82,351</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		82,351										4		
35 557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies 244,315 251,644 259,194 266,970 274,979 283,228 291,725 300,477 309, 36 557-1106-532.30-1 Dept Share of Insurance 114,187 121,141 124,775 128,518 132,374 136,345 140,463 144, 37 557-1106-532.35-0 Vehicle Replacement Fee 318,834 328,399 338,251 348,399 358,850 369,616 380,704 392,126 403,1 38 557-1106-532.35-84 Retro Fee Expense 156 161 166 170 176 181 186 192 40 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge 64,637 66,576 68,573 70,631 72,750 74,932 77,180 79,495 81,4 41 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge 64,637 66,576 68,573 70,631 72,750 74,932 77,180 79,495 58,14 42 557-1106-533.49-1-Adm Exp-City Manager 99,171 102,146 105,211 108,367 111,618 114,966 118,415 121,948 125,44 357-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-City Altoney		17,951												
36 557-1106-532.30-1 Dept Share of Insurance 114.187 117.613 121.141 124.775 128.518 132.374 136.345 140.436 144.037 37 557-1106-532.32-0 Vehicle Replacement Fee 318.834 328.399 338.251 348.399 358.850 369.616 380.704 392.126 403.01 38 557-1106-532.35-84 Retro Fee Expense 156 161 166 170 176 181 186 192 39 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Moint Charge 64.637 66.576 68.573 70.631 72.750 74.992 77.180 79.495 81.4 41 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Moint Charge 64.437 66.576 68.573 70.631 72.750 74.992 77.180 79.495 81.4 41 557-1106-533.43-0 Machinery/Equipment 40.000 41.200 42.436 43.709 45.020 46.371 47.762 49.195 55.4 42 557-1106-534.91-1 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26.365 27.156 27.971 28.810 29.674 30.564 31.481 32.424 33.44 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Attorn		37,238												
37 557-1106-532.32-0 Venicle Replacement Fee 318.834 328.399 338.251 348.399 358.850 369,616 380,704 392,126 403.4 38 557-1106-532.35-84 Retro Fee Expense 156 161 166 170 176 181 186 192 39 557-1106-532.38-0 Support Services 124,297 128,026 131,867 135,823 139,897 144,094 148,17 152,820 157, 40 557-1106-532.45-0 Computer Replacement Charg 28,286 29,135 30,009 30,909 31,836 32,791 33,775 34,788 354,433 41 557-1106-533,43-0 Macchinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50,433 43 557-1106-533,49-1- Adm Exp-City Monager 99,171 102,146 105,211 108,367 111,618 114,966 118,415 121,968 122,426 333,45 45 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-City Monager 99,171 102,146 105,211 108,367 111,618 114,966 118,415 121,968 123,426 33		309,491 144,649												
38 557-1106-532.35-84 Retro Fee Exponse 156 161 166 170 176 181 186 192 39 557-1106-532.35-84 Retro Fee Exponse 124.297 128.026 131.867 135.823 139.897 144.094 148.017 152.870 157. 40 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge 64.637 66.576 68.573 70.631 72.750 74.932 77.180 79.495 81.4 41 557-1106-533.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge 28.286 29.135 30.009 30.909 31.836 32.771 33.775 34.788 357.50 42 557-1106-533.49-1-1 Adm Exp-City Manager 99.171 102.146 105.211 108.367 111.618 114.966 118.415 121.968 125.4 43 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26.365 27.156 27.971 28.810 29.674 30.564 31.481 32.426 33. 45 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26.365 271.161 279.765 288.158 296.02		403,889												
39 557-1106-532.38-0 Support Services 124,297 128,024 131,867 135,823 139,897 144,094 148,417 152,870 157,7 40 557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge 64,637 68,573 70,631 72,750 74,932 77,180 79,495 81,4 14 557-1106-532.45-0 Computer Replacement Charg 28,286 29,135 30,009 30,909 31,836 32,771 33,775 34,788 35,4 2 557-1106-533.45-0 Machinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50,4 43 557-1106-534,91-1 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26,365 27,156 27,971 28,810 29,674 30,664 118,415 121,968 124,264 33,3 45 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26,3705 271,616 279,765 288,158 296,802 305,706 47,077 48,489 49,944 51,44 45 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 30,016 37,183 38,298 39,447 40,047 45 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Pu		198										00		
40 557-1106-532.45-0 Focilities Maint Charge 64.637 66.576 68.573 70,631 72,750 74.932 77,180 79,495 81.1 41 557-1106-532.45-0 Computer Replacement Chrg 28,286 29,135 30,009 30,909 31.836 32,791 33,775 34,788 35.1 42 557-1106-533.43-0 Machinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50.0 43 557-1106-533.43-0 Machinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50.0 43 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26,365 27,156 27,971 28,810 29,674 30,564 31,481 32,426 33.3 45 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-Finance 28,705 271,616 279,765 288,158 266,802 305,706 314,878 324,324 344 45 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 30,303 34,027 35,048 36,100 31,838 324,324 344 45 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Ex		157,456												
42 557-1106-533.43-0 Machinery/Equipment 40,000 41,200 42,436 43,709 45,020 46,371 47,762 49,195 50,43 43 557-1106-534,91-1 Adm Exp-Citly Manager 99,171 102,146 105,211 108,367 111,618 114,966 118,415 121,968 122,426 33,34 44 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-Citly Attorney 26,365 27,156 27,971 28,810 29,674 30,564 31,481 32,426 33,34 45 557-1106-534,91-3 Adm Exp-Citly Clerk 40,609 41,827 43,082 24,335 45,706 47,077 48,489 49,944 51,446 45 557-1106-534,91-9 Adm Exp-Florance 263,705 271,616 279,765 288,158 296,802 305,706 314,878 324,324 334,47 47 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 30,016 34,027 35,048 36,100 37,183 38,298 39,447 40,0 48 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 20,7192 28,008 28,848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32,469 33,443 34,4 34,55<		81,880												40
43 557-1106-534.91-1 Adm Exp-City Manager 99.171 102.146 105.211 108.347 111.618 114.966 118.415 121.948 125. 44 557-1106-534.91-2 Adm Exp-City Altorney 26,365 27,156 27,971 28.810 29.674 30,564 31.481 32,426 33.34 45 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Altorney 26,3705 271.616 27.9765 48,302 30,564 31.481 32,426 33.34 45 557-1106-534.91-9 Adm Exp-Finance 263,705 271.616 279.765 288,158 296,802 305,706 314.878 324.324 344. 47 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 30.274 33.036 34,027 35,048 36,100 37.183 38.298 39,447 40. 48 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Citly Council 27.192 28.008 28.848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32.469 33.443 34. 50 557-1106-535.92-11 Interdept DSC-General Find 654,595 674,599 694.836 715.682		35,832				32,791						nt Chrg	41 557-1106-532.46-0 Computer Replacement Chro	41
44 557-1106-534.91-2 Adm Exp-City Attorney 26,365 27,156 27,971 28,810 29,674 30,564 31,481 32,426 33,333 45 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Clerk 40,609 41,827 43,082 44,375 45,776 47,077 48,489 49,944 51,4 45 557-1106-534.91-9 Adm Exp-Finance 263,705 271,616 279,765 288,158 296,800 305,706 31,487 332,432 334,4 47 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 32,074 33,036 34,027 35,048 36,100 37,183 38,298 39,447 40,0 48 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Public Works 156,581 161,278 166,117 171,100 176,233 181,520 186,966 192,575 198,6 49 557-1106-535.92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,950 674,599 694,836 715,682 737,152 759,267 782,045 805,506 829,455 557,1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598		50,671												
45 557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Clerk 40,609 41,827 43,082 44,375 45,706 47,077 48,489 49,944 51, 31,475 46 557-1106-534.91-9 Adm Exp-Finance 263,705 271,616 279,765 288,158 266,802 305,706 314,878 324,324 334, 34,47 47 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 320,74 33,036 34,027 35,048 36,100 37,183 38,298 39,447 40, 48 557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 27,192 8,008 28,848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32,469 33,443 34, 49 557-1106-535.92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,950 674,599 694,836 715,582 737,152 759,267 782,045 805,506 829, 50 557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,506 52 557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Pub Works 99,409 105,463 1		125,627												
46 557-1106-534,91-9 Adm Exp-Finance 263,705 271,616 279,765 288,158 296,802 305,706 314,878 324,324 334,4 47 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 32,074 33,036 34,027 35,048 36,100 37,183 38,298 39,447 40,0 48 557-1106-534,91-11 Adm Exp-Public Works 155,581 161,278 166,117 171,100 176,233 181,520 186,966 192,575 198. 49 557-1106-534,91-11 Adm Exp-Citly Council 27,192 28,008 28,848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32,449 33,443 34,4 50 557-1106-535,92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,956 674,599 694,836 175,882 737,152 759,247 782,045 805,506 829,9 51 557-1106-535,92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596.4 52 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Pub Works 99,409 102,391		33,398												
47 557-1106-534,91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing 32,074 33,036 34,027 35,048 36,100 37,183 38,298 39,447 40,4 48 557-1106-534,91-11 Adm Exp-Purchasing 156,581 161,278 166,117 171,100 176,233 181,520 186,966 192,575 198,6 49 557-1106-534,91-11 Adm Exp-Purchasing 27,192 28,008 28,848 29,713 30,005 31,523 32,469 33,443 34,45 50 557-1106-535,92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,950 674,599 694,836 715,682 737,152 759,267 782,045 805,506 829,45 51 557-1106-535,92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,506 829,45 52 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Pub Works 99,409 102,391 105,463 108,627 111,886 115,242 118,700 122,261 125,53 53 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Pub Works 99,409		51,442												
48 557-1106-534,91-11 Adm Exp-Public Works 156,581 161,278 166,117 171,100 176,233 181,520 186,966 192,575 198, 49 557-1106-534,91-16 Adm Exp-City Council 27,192 28,008 28,848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32,469 33,443 34, 50 557-1106-535,92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,950 674,599 694,836 715,682 737,152 759,204 805,506 829, 51 557-1106-535,92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596, 52 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596, 52 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 108,627 111,886 115,242 118,700 122,261 125, 53 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Wotkrs 99,409 106,443 108,627		334,054 40,630												
49 557-1106-533.491-16 Adm Exp-City Council 27,192 28,008 29,848 29,713 30,605 31,523 32,469 33,443 34,43 50 557-1106-535.92-11 Interdept DSC-General Find 654,950 674,599 694,836 715,682 737,152 759,267 782,045 805,506 829,9 51 557-1106-535,92-11 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,55 52 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Pub Works 99,409 102,391 105,463 108,627 111,886 115,242 118,700 122,261 125,93 53 557-1106-535,92-53 Interdept DSC-Wastewater 159 164 169 174 179 184 190 196 196		198,352												
50 557-1106-535.92-11 Interdept DSC-General Fnd 654,950 674,599 694.836 715,682 737,152 759,267 782,045 805,506 829,451 51 557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,506 829,453 52 557-1106-535.92-29 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,506 829,453 557,1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470,930 485,058 499,610 514,598 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,506 829,453 53 557-1106-535,92-29 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 199,409 102,391 105,463 108,627 111,886 115,242 118,700 122,261 125,914 53 557-1106-535,92-53 Interdept DSC-Wastewater 159 164 169 174 179 184 190 196 125		34,446												
51 557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Sv c 470.930 485,058 499,610 514.578 530,036 545,937 562,315 579,184 596,6 52 557-1106-535.92-29 Interdept DSC-Dub Works 99,409 102,391 105,463 108,627 111,886 115,242 118,700 122,261 125,7 53 557-1106-535.92-29 Interdept DSC-Wostewater 159 164 169 174 179 184 190 196 23		829,671						715,682				Fnd	50 557-1106-535.92-1 Interdept DSC-General Fnd	
53 557-1106-535.92-53 Interdept DSC-Wastewater 159 164 169 174 179 184 190 196 2	60 614,457 632	596,560	596,560	562,315 579,184	562,315	545,937	530,036	514,598	499,610	485,058	470,930	p Sv c	51 557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc	
		125,928												
54 55/-1106-535.92-72 Interdept DSC-Supprt Sr 83.827 86.342 88.932 91.600 94.348 97.178 100.094 103.097 106.		201												
55 557-701-706,73-1 Agent Fees-Bond Payment 2,000 2,00		106,190												
		2,000 290,362									2,000	ent		
		-									-			
57 Total Operations & Maintenance Expenses \$ 1,790,827 2,078,565 2,146,246 2,210,733 2,276,624 2,343,407 2,412,980 2,484,622 2,558,5	94 2,634,360 2,712	2,558,394	2,558,394	2,412,980 2,484,622	/ 2,412,980	2,343,407	2,276,624	2,210,733	2,146,246	2,078,565	1,790,827	es Ş	5/ Iotal Operations & Maintenance Expenses	57
Long-Term Debt Service Payments:														
	01 262,844 256	257,001	257,001	769,363 766,126	5 769,363	761,955	765,803	761,507	756,223	744,851	737,390	\$		
59 Cumulative New Debt Service	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		59 Cumulative New Debt Service	59
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	01 262,844 256	257,001	257,001	769,363 766,126	5 769,363	761,955	765,803	761,507	756,223	744,851	737,390	\$		60
<u>Transfers</u> 61 Transfers Out \$ 250,000 \$ 787,448 \$ - \$ 289,170 \$ 304,466 \$ - \$ 330,931 \$ 290,931 \$	\$ 289,655 \$	-	\$ - \$	330,931 \$ 290.931	\$ 330.931	\$-	304,466	289,170	\$-	787,448	250,000	.\$		61
62 Transfers Total \$ 250,000 787,448 - 289,170 304,466 - 330,931 290,931	289,655		· •			-			-					
63 TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS \$ 2,806,503 \$ 3,639,998 \$ 2,932,478 \$ 3,292,319 \$ 3,378,729 \$ 3,138,153 \$ 3,547,049 \$ 3,576,467 \$ 2,851,2	27 \$ 3,223,766 \$ 3,006	2,851,227	\$ 2,851,227 \$	3,547,049 \$ 3,576,467	3 \$ 3,547,049	\$ 3,138,153	\$ 3,378,729	3,292,319	\$ 2,932,478	3,639,998	2,806,503	\$	63 TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS	63

Schedule 3 – Capital Improvement Program (R&R projects only)

		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Water Supply Plan	55,983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	PCE Sampling and Testing	21,872	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	MTBE Litigation	29,234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Well #3 Wellhead Treatment	4,346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Well #7 Wellhead Treatment	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Well Pump Enclosure	300,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Easement Line and Service Replacement	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Nitrate and Arsenic Analysis	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	REG Water Mgmt Programs	261,510	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Technology Enhancements	4,480	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Security System Improvements	31,152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Merced Roadway - R St	345,044	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Well #3C Clean -UP	63,902	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Well Site #1 Ladder repair	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Sewer Main Repl -21st/22nd	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	GIS Survey Monuments	16,792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Elevated Tanks Assessment	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	North PW Station	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Black Rascal Consolidation	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Well Site 3 Tank Removal	147,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Well Site 3 A/C Improvements	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Gateway Terrace II Apts	255,362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	EPA Emergency Generators @ Well Sites	1,500,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Well Site 8 - Site Work	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Well Site 2 & 7 - Site Work	400,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Disinfect Byproducts	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	SCADA Expansion and Maintenance	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Water Main Oversizing	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Pave Well Sites	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Parkway Cleaners Pilot Program	-	200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	Water Storage Tanks	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Groundwater Remediation	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Campus Parkway Water Main Crossing	-	1,300,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Water Main Looping At Lake Road	-	1,250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	Water Storage Tank & Booster Pump Station #1	-	-	-	2,730,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	Water Storage Tank & Booster Pump Station #2	-	-	-	-	-	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000
37	Water Storage Tank & Booster Pump Station #3	-	-	-	-	-	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000	455,000
39	Water System Fund PTBD	218,598	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	Public Works Corporate Yard	-	-	25,991	389,870	-	1,039,653	-	-	-	-	-
42	Two New Trucks at \$40K each	-	80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	New Step-In Van for Backflow Program	-	80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Citywide computer and financial system	-	107,111	126,585	126,585	126,585	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tot	Il CIP Budget (Current \$) \$	4,339,315 \$	4,017,111 \$	152,576 \$	3,246,455	126,585 \$	1,949,653 \$	910,000 \$	910,000 \$	910,000 \$	910,000 \$	910,000
Anı	nual Adjustment for Compounded Cost Escalation \$	4,339,315 \$	4,137,624 \$	161,868 \$	3,547,489 \$	142,473 \$	2,260,182 \$	1,086,588 \$	1,119,185 \$	1,152,761 \$	1,187,344 \$	1,222,964

Schedule 4 – Cash Flow Proforma

		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028
1	Rate Revenue Increase	0.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Revenues											
2	Rate Revenue Before Adjustments	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 12,120,000	\$ 12,365,820	\$ 12,493,178	\$ 12,624,381	\$ 12,759,547	\$ 12,896,065	\$ 13,033,948	\$ 13,173,209	\$13,313,864 \$	13,455,924
3	Additional Rate Revenue From Rate Adj	-	120,204	369,924	627,186	892,218	892,218	892,218	892,218	892,218	892,218	892,218
4	Other Operating Revenues	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769	259,769
5	Interest Income	346,669	200,034	190,394	184,742	179,806	174,250	154,765	139,312	108,088	60,415	-
6	Total Revenues	\$ 12,606,438	\$ 12,700,007	\$ 13,185,907	\$ 13,564,875	\$ 13,956,174	\$ 14,085,784	\$ 14,202,817	\$ 14,325,247	\$ 14,433,284	\$14,526,265 \$	14,607,911
7	Operating Expenses Salaries and Benefits	¢ 2,477,412	¢ 2052147	¢ 40/2020	¢ 4107710	¢ 4 207 0 40	¢ 4 410 E/E	\$ 4,569,400	¢ 47242/1	\$ 4,905,700	¢ E002/77 ¢	
7		\$ 3,477,613		•								5,268,566
8	Supplies and Professional Services	5,083,188	5,235,624	5,392,632	5,554,351	5,720,922	5,892,490	6,069,204	6,251,220	6,438,697	6,631,798	6,830,692
9	Utilities	1,490,491	1,535,206	1,581,262	1,628,700	1,677,561	1,727,888	1,779,724	1,833,116	1,888,109	1,944,753	2,003,095
10	Total Operating Expenses	\$ 10,051,292	\$ 10,623,976	\$ 11,036,926	\$ 11,370,764	\$ 11,705,325	\$ 12,030,942	\$ 12,418,328	\$ 12,818,697	\$ 13,232,506	\$13,660,227 \$	14,102,353
	_											
11	NetRevenues	\$ 2,555,146	\$ 2,076,031	\$ 2,148,981	\$ 2,194,111	\$ 2,250,850	\$ 2,054,842	\$ 1,784,489	\$ 1,506,549	\$ 1,200,778	\$ 866,038 \$	505,558
12	Existing Debt Service	\$ 737,390	\$ 744,851	\$ 756,223	\$ 761,507	\$ 765,803	\$ 761,955	\$ 769,363	\$ 766,126	\$ 257,001	\$ 262,844 \$	256,242
13	New Debt Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Total Capital Spending	31,727,325	5,579,624	3,079,343	4,825,979	142,473	7,851,747	3,562,256	3,669,124	6,312,738	6,502,120	21,151,004
14	Debt Service Paid w Univ. Capital Char	209,890	218,451	226,623	234,407	241,803	236,755	243,763	238,326	257,001	262,844	256,242
15	Cash-funded Capital (Rate Revenue)	4,365,652	4,137,624	161,868	3,547,489	142,473	3,520,126	2,144,832	2,254,202	4,883,760	5,058,853	19,693,305
16	Cash-funded with Capital Facility Charc	25,018,940	1,442,000	2,917,475	1,278,491	-	4,331,621	1,417,424	1,414,922	1,428,977	1,443,267	1,457,699
17	Cash-funded with Other Funds	2,342,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Capital Projects Paid with Debt Proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Balance of Transfer (In)/Out	(91,864)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)	(289,655)
19	Revenues Over (Under) Expenses	\$ (2,429,870)	\$ (2,877,649)	\$ 1,167,858	\$ (2,170,133)	\$ 1,294,722	\$ (2,280,140)	\$ (1,175,599)	\$ (1,565,107)	\$ (3,972,637)	\$ (4,482,470) \$	(19,477,401)
20	Operating Fund - Beginning Balance	\$ 21,607,222	\$ 19,177,352	\$ 16.299.703	\$ 17.467.561	\$ 15.297.428	\$ 16.592.150	\$ 14.312.011	\$ 13.136.412	\$ 11.571.304	\$ 7,598,667 \$	3,116,197
21		19,177,352	16,299,703	17,467,561	15,297,428	16,592,150	14,312,011	13,136,412	11,571,304	7.598.667	3,116,197	(16,361,204)
	Operating Fund - Target Reserves		\$ 10,386,988								\$ 12,547,064 \$	12,853,881
23	Debt Service Cove (1.5 Req.)	3.47	2.79	2.84	2.88	2.94	2.70	2.32	1.97	4.67	3.29	1.97

APPENDIX B: COST-OF-SERVICE SCHEDULES

Schedule 5 – Allocation of Costs to Functional Components Schedule 6 – Allocation of Costs to System Parameters



Schedule 5 – Allocation of Costs to Functional Components

1 of 2

		, Line - Line -	7				,ce	ۊ	
		A Q	te,		*		Ser	ervii	." 0
		3/ &	Gro _{un} dwat _e Pumping	l'reatment	Dis	Ø	Jer J	ه. ي	, to
		Jer,	Jun Indii	oth	18	log,	ston.	'ter's	'nse
		ග්	చ్ చి	Ire,	110	250	ථ	Me	ഗ്
					<u>\$ Alloc</u>	<u>ation</u>			
1	Operating Costs								
2	557-1106-531.1-0 Regular Salaries	\$403,439	\$157,593	\$157,593	\$1,071,635	\$0	\$21,433	\$189,112	\$63,037
3	557-1106-531.3-0 Extra Help	\$1,984	\$775	\$775	\$5,271	\$0	\$105	\$930	\$310
4	557-1106-531.4-1 Regular Overtime	\$19,126	\$7,471	\$7,471	\$50,802	\$0	\$1,016	\$8,965	\$2,988
5	557-1106-531.4-4 Call Back Time Worked	\$5,064	\$1,978	\$1,978	\$13,450	\$0	\$269	\$2,374	\$791
6	557-1106-531.10-2 Unused Sick Leave	\$709	\$277	\$277	\$1,882	\$0	\$38	\$332	\$111
7	557-1106-531.10-5 Retirement PERS Classic	\$22,459	\$8,773	\$8,773	\$59,656	\$0	\$1,193	\$10,527	\$3,509
8	557-1106-531.10-6 Social Security-OASDI	\$26,619	\$10,398	\$10,398	\$70,707	\$0	\$1,414	\$12,478	\$4,159
9	557-1106-531.10-7 Social Security-Medicare	\$6,254	\$2,443	\$2,443	\$16,613	\$0	\$332	\$2,932	\$977
10	557-1106-531.10-12 Workers Compensation	\$9,879	\$3,859	\$3,859	\$26,242	\$0	\$525	\$4,631	\$1,544
11	557-1106-531.10-17 Stand By Pay	\$19,190	\$7,496	\$7,496	\$50,975	\$0	\$1,019	\$8,996	\$2,999
12	557-1106-531.10-20 Earned Benefit	\$12,249	\$4,785	\$4,785	\$32,535	\$0	\$651	\$5,742	\$1,914
13	557-1106-531.10-21 Bilingual Pay Program	\$1,435	\$561	\$561	\$3,812	\$0	\$76	\$673	\$224
14	557-1106-531.10-23 Uniform Cleaning	\$1,771	\$692	\$692	\$4,705	\$0	\$94	\$830	\$277
15	557-1106-531.10-27 PTS Plan FICA Alternative	\$26	\$10	\$10	\$69	\$0	\$1	\$12	\$4
16	557-1106-531.10-33 Core Allowance	\$116,710	\$45,590	\$45,590	\$310,010	\$0	\$6,200	\$54,708	\$18,236
17	557-1106-531.10-35 Post Employment Benefits	\$10,723	\$4,189	\$4,189	\$28,483	\$0	\$570	\$5,026	\$1,675
18	557-1106-531.10-73 Retirement UAL Misc	\$49,819	\$19,460	\$19,460	\$132,331	\$0	\$2,647	\$23,353	\$7,784
19	557-1106-532.11-0 Utilities	\$0	\$1,535,206	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
20	557-1106-532.12-0 Telephone	\$2,815	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$7,478	\$0	\$150	\$1,320	\$440
21	557-1106-532.13-0 Postage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,159	\$0	\$0
22	557-1106-532.14-0 Advertising	\$41,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
23	557-1106-532.16-0 Printing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,425	\$0	\$0
24	557-1106-532.17-0 Professional Services	\$0	\$216,685	\$108,343	\$487,542	\$108,343	\$0	\$162,514	\$0
25	557-1106-532.18-0 Travel and Meetings	\$1,893	\$739	\$739	\$5,027	\$0	\$101	\$887	\$296
26	557-1106-532.19-0 Mileage	\$0	\$103	\$103	\$700	\$0	\$0	\$124	\$0
27	557-1106-532.20-0 Training Expense	\$0	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$10,786	\$0	\$0	\$1,903	\$0
28	557-1106-532.21-0 Rents/Leases	\$1,566	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
29	557-1106-532.23-0 Vehicle Operations/Maint	\$0	\$25,845	\$25,845	\$175,748	\$0	\$0	\$31,014	\$0
30	557-1106-532.24-0 Memberships, Subscription	\$3,930	\$1,535	\$1,535	\$10,439	\$0	\$209	\$1,842	\$614
31	557-1106-532.25-0 Maintenance Matls & Svcs	\$0	\$62,711	\$62,711	\$426,432	\$0	\$0	\$75,253	\$0
32	557-1106-532.26-0 Other Equipment O & M	\$0	\$6,696	\$6,696	\$45,532	\$0	\$0	\$8,035	\$0
33	557-1106-532.27-0 Small Tools	\$0	\$1,460	\$1,460	\$9,925	\$0	\$0	\$1,752	\$0
34	557-1106-532.28-0 Safety Supplies	\$0	\$3,028	\$3,028	\$20,589	\$0	\$0	\$3,633	\$0
35	557-1106-532.29-0 Other Materials Supplies	\$0	\$25,164	\$25,164	\$171,118	\$0	\$0	\$30,197	\$0
36	557-1106-532.30-1 Dept Share of Insurance	\$0	\$11,761	\$11,761	\$79,977	\$0	\$0	\$14,114	\$0
37	557-1106-532.32-0 Vehicle Replacement Fee	\$0	\$32,840	\$32,840	\$223,311	\$0	\$0	\$39,408	\$0
38	·	\$0	\$16	\$16	\$109	\$0	\$0	\$19	\$0
39	557-1106-532.38-0 Support Services	\$0	\$12,803	\$12,803	\$87,058	\$0	\$0	\$15,363	\$0
40	557-1106-532.45-0 Facilities Maint Charge	\$66,576	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	ů – E						, in the second s		

h	edule 5 – Allocation of Cos	sts to Fu _	nction	al Con	nponer	nts			2 of
		General & Admir	Groundwater Pumping	l'eat _{ment}	lrans & Dist	^{3for} oge	Customer Service	Meters & Services	Conserver.
					\$ Alloc				
1	Operating Costs								
41	557-1106-532.46-0 Computer Replacement Chrg	\$5,695	\$2,225	\$2,225	\$15,128	\$0	\$303	\$2,670	\$8
42	557-1106-533.43-0 Machinery/Equipment	\$8,054	\$3,146	\$3,146	\$21,393	\$0	\$428	\$3,775	\$1,2
43	557-1106-534.91-1 Adm Exp-City Manager	\$19,967	\$7,800	\$7,800	\$53,039	\$0	\$1,061	\$9,360	\$3,1
44	557-1106-534.91-2 Adm Exp-City Attorney	\$5,308	\$2,074	\$2,074	\$14,101	\$0	\$282	\$2,488	\$8
45	557-1106-534.91-3 Adm Exp-City Clerk	\$8,176	\$3,194	\$3,194	\$21,718	\$0	\$434	\$3,833	\$1,2
46	557-1106-534.91-9 Adm Exp-Finance	\$53,095	\$20,740	\$20,740	\$141,035	\$0	\$2,821	\$24,888	\$8,2
47	557-1106-534.91-10 Adm Exp-Purchasing	\$6,458	\$2,523	\$2,523	\$17,154	\$0	\$343	\$3,027	\$1,0
48	557-1106-534.91-11 Adm Exp-Public Works	\$31,527	\$12,315	\$12,315	\$83,743	\$0	\$1,675	\$14,778	\$4,9
49	557-1106-534.91-16 Adm Exp-City Council	\$5,475	\$2,139	\$2,139	\$14,543	\$0	\$291	\$2,566	\$8
50	557-1106-535.92-1 Interdept DSC-General Fnd	\$131,870	\$51,512	\$51,512	\$350,280	\$0	\$7,006	\$61,814	\$20,6
51	557-1106-535.92-17 Interdept DSC-Develop Svc	\$94,819	\$37,039	\$37,039	\$251,863	\$0	\$5,037	\$44,446	\$14,8
52	557-1106-535.92-29 Interdept DSC-Pub Works	\$20,015	\$7,819	\$7,819	\$53,166	\$0	\$1,063	\$9,382	\$3,1
53	557-1106-535.92-53 Interdept DSC-Wastewater	\$32	\$13	\$13	\$85	\$0	\$2	\$15	1
54	557-1106-535.92-72 Interdept DSC-Supprt Sr	\$16,878	\$6,593	\$6,593	\$44,832	\$0	\$897	\$7,912	\$2,6
55	557-701-706.73-1 Agent Fees-Bond Payment	\$391	\$153	\$153	\$1,038	\$0	\$21	\$183	\$
	Backflow Program Staff Increase	\$234,073	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
57	Total Operations	\$1,467,269	\$2,374,909	\$731,361	\$4,724,067	\$108,343	\$132,289	\$910,136	\$175,6
	Existing Debt Service								
58		\$0	\$105,280	\$52,640	\$236,880	\$52,640	\$0	\$78,960	
59	Existing Debt Service Total	\$0	\$105,280	\$52,640	\$236,880	\$52,640	\$0	\$78,960	1

ΨZJ 4 ,075	ψυ	ψU	ψU	ψU	ψυ	ψυ	ψΟ
\$1,467,269	\$2,374,909	\$731,361	\$4,724,067	\$108,343	\$132,289	\$910,136	\$175,602
\$0	\$105,280	\$52,640	\$236,880	\$52,640	\$0	\$78,960	\$0
\$0	\$105,280	\$52,640	\$236,880	\$52,640	\$0	\$78,960	\$0
\$7	\$3	\$3	\$19	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$1
\$335	\$131	\$131	\$889	\$0	\$18	\$157	\$52
\$48,870	\$19,090	\$19,090	\$129,811	\$0	\$2,596	\$22,908	\$7,636
\$7,410	\$2,894	\$2,894	\$19,682	\$0	\$394	\$3,473	\$1,158
\$56,622	\$22,118	\$22,118	\$150,401	\$0	\$3,008	\$26,541	\$8,847
\$0	\$827,525	\$413,762	\$1,861,931	\$413,762	\$0	\$620,644	\$0
\$0	\$827,525	\$413,762	\$1,861,931	\$413,762	\$0	\$620,644	\$0
\$1,523,890	\$2,397,027	\$753,479	\$4,874,468	\$108,343	\$135,297	\$936,677	\$184,449
\$0	\$105,280	\$52,640	\$236,880	\$52,640	\$0	\$78,960	\$0
\$0	\$827,525	\$413,762	\$1,861,931	\$413,762	\$0	\$620,644	\$0
\$1,523,890	\$3,329,832	\$1,219,881	\$6,973,279	\$574,745	\$135,297	\$1,636,281	\$184,449
9.78%	21.38%	7.83%	44.77%	3.69%	0.87%	10.50%	1.18%
	\$1,467,269 \$0 \$0 \$335 \$48,870 \$7,410 \$56,622 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,523,890 \$0 \$0 \$1,523,890	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$0 \$105,280 \$0 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$131 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$56,622 \$22,118 \$55,622 \$22,118 \$1,523,890 \$2,397,027 \$0 \$827,525 \$1,523,890 \$2,397,027 \$105,280 \$0 \$827,525 \$1,523,890 \$3,329,832	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$731,361 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$105,280 \$131 \$131 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$19,090 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$2,894 \$56,622 \$22,118 \$22,118 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,523,890 \$3,329,832 \$1,219,881	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$731,361 \$4,724,067 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$30 \$131 \$131 \$889 \$335 \$131 \$131 \$889 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$19,090 \$129,811 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$2,894 \$19,682 \$56,622 \$22,118 \$22,118 \$150,401 \$0 \$827,525 \$4413,762 \$1,861,931 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$1,523,890 \$2,397,027 \$753,479 \$4,874,468 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$1,523,890 \$3,329,832 \$1,219,881 \$6,973,279	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$731,361 \$4,724,067 \$108,343 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$335 \$131 \$131 \$889 \$00 \$335 \$131 \$131 \$889 \$00 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$19,090 \$129,811 \$00 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$19,682 \$00 \$56,622 \$22,118 \$22,118 \$150,401 \$0 \$0 \$827,525 \$4413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$1,523,890 \$2,397,027 \$753,479 \$4,874,468 \$108,343 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$731,361 \$4,724,067 \$108,343 \$132,289 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$335 \$131 \$131 \$889 \$0 \$18 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$129,811 \$0 \$2,596 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$19,682 \$0 \$3,008 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$1,523,890 \$2,397,027 \$753,479 \$4,874,468 \$108,343 \$135,297 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 <	\$1,467,269 \$2,374,909 \$731,361 \$4,724,067 \$108,343 \$132,289 \$910,136 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$78,960 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$78,960 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$78,960 \$0 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$78,960 \$105,280 \$52,640 \$236,880 \$52,640 \$0 \$78,960 \$335 \$131 \$1131 \$889 \$0 \$18 \$157 \$48,870 \$19,090 \$129,811 \$0 \$2,596 \$22,908 \$7,410 \$2,894 \$19,682 \$0 \$3,008 \$26,541 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$620,644 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$620,644 \$0 \$827,525 \$413,762 \$1,861,931 \$413,762 \$0 \$78,960

Schedule 6 – Allocation of Costs to System Parameters 1 of 2

									System Pa	ra	meter				
					Base (Annual), (Annual),	မ်း	Etho Copocity	(10)	Etho Concent Concent	(J)	Conservation	1-	Customers		Meler Size
1	Tota	l Syste	m Metrics:		7,445,233		16,318		20,398		7,445,233		21,217		25,299
2					HCF		Max Day		Max Hour		HCF		Accounts		EM
	Operating Expenses	S	<u> </u>		A A A A A A A A A A		AA		••		••		••		•••
3	Groundwater Pumping		\$2,786,048		\$2,786,048		\$0 200 220		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0
4 5	Treatment Trans & Dist		875,763 5,665,561		486,535 2,023,415		389,228 1,618,732		۔ 2,023,415		-		-		-
6	Storage		125,926		2,023,415		35,979		2,023,415 44,974				-		-
7	Customer Service		157,255		-10,77				-1,574				157,255		_
8	Meters & Services		1,088,694		-		-		-				-		1,088,694
9	Conservation		214,384		-		-		-		214,384		-		-
10	Total Costs		\$10,913,631		\$5,340,972		\$2,043,939		\$2,068,388		\$214,384		\$157,255		\$1,088,694
11	% Allocation				48.9%		18.7%		19.0%		2.0%		1.4%		10.0%
12	Unit Cost of Service				\$0.72		\$125.25		\$101.40		\$0.03		\$7.41		\$43.03
13	(Unit of measure)				(per HCF)		(per HCF)		(per HCF)		(per HCF)	(pe	er Account)		(per EM)
14	Groundwater Pumping				\$0.37		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
15	Treatment				\$0.07		\$23.85		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
16	Trans & Dist				\$0.27		\$99.20		\$99.20		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
17	Storage				\$0.01		\$2.20		\$2.20		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
18	Customer Service				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$7.41		\$0.00
19	Meters & Services				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$43.03
20	Conservation				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.03		\$0.00		\$0.00
0	Debt Service														
21	Groundwater Pumping	\$	105,280	\$	105,280	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-
22	Treatment	÷	52,640	Ť	29,244	Ť	23,396	Ť	-	Ť	-	Ť	-	Ť	-
23	Trans & Dist		236,880		84,600		67,680		84,600		-		-		-
24	Storage		52,640		18,800		15,040		18,800		-		-		-
25	Customer Service		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
26	Meters & Services		78,960		-		-		-		-		-		78,960
27	Conservation		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
28	Total Costs	\$	526,400	\$	237,924	\$	106,116	\$	103,400	\$	-	\$	•	\$	78,960
29	% Distribution				45.2%		20.2%		19.6%		0.0%		0.0%		15.0%
30 31	Unit Cost of Service				\$0.03		\$6.50		\$5.07		\$0.00 (per HCF)	(00	\$0.00		\$3.12
31	(Unit of measure)				(per HCF)		(per HCF)		(per HCF)			ίhe	er Account)		(per EM)
	Groundwater Pumping				\$0.01 \$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	Treatment				\$0.00		\$1.43		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	Trans & Dist				\$0.01		\$4.15		\$4.15		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	Storage				\$0.00		\$0.92		\$0.92		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	Customer Service				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
	Meters & Services				\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00 \$0.00		\$3.12 \$0.00
30	Conservation				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00



	Schedule 6 – Allocation of	Costs to System Parameters	2 of 2
--	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------

									System Parc	imeter			
					Base (Annucloocity)	်မ္သ	Etho Coocil	, (JO)	Etho Cooch Peoch Aoch	Conservation	<i>b</i> -	Customers	Merer Size
1	Total S	Syst	em Metrics:		7,445,233		16,318		20,398	7,445,233		21,217	25,299
2					HCF		Max Day		Max Hour	HCF		Accounts	EM
	Cash Funded Capital												
39	Groundwater Pumping	\$	827,525	\$	827,525	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$	- \$	-
40	Treatment		413,762		229,868		183,894		-	-		-	-
41	Trans & Dist		1,861,931		664,975		531,980		664,975	-		-	-
42	Storage		413,762		147,772		118,218		147,772	-		-	-
43	Customer Service		-		-		-		-	-		-	-
44	Meters & Services		620,644		-		-		-	-		-	620,644
45	Conservation	•	-	•	-	•	-	•	-	-	•	-	-
46	Total Costs	\$	4,137,624	\$	1,870,141	\$	834,093	\$	812,748 \$		\$	- \$	620,644
47	% Distribution				45.2%		20.2%		19.6%	0.0%		0.0%	15.0%
	Unit Cost of Service				\$0.25		\$51.11		\$39.84	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$24.53
49	(Unit of measure)				(per HCF)		(per HCF)		(per HCF)	(per HCF)	(pe	er Account)	(per EM)
50	Groundwater Pumping				\$0.11		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
51	Treatment				\$0.03		\$11.27		\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
52	Trans & Dist				\$0.09		\$32.60		\$32.60	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
53	Storage				\$0.02		\$7.24		\$7.24	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
54	Customer Service				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
55	Meters & Services				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$24.53
56	Conservation				\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00
	Summary Totals	To	otal Costs						Unit Co	sts			
57	Operating	\$	10,913,631		\$0.72		\$125.25		\$101.40	\$0.03		\$7.41	\$43.03
58	Debt Service		526,400		\$0.03		\$6.50		\$5.07	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$3.12
59	Rate Funded Capital		4,137,624		\$0.25		\$51.11		\$39.84	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$24.53
60	Total	\$	15,577,655		\$1.00		\$182.87		\$146.32	\$0.03		\$7.41	\$70.69



APPENDIX C: PROPOSED RATE SCHEDULES

- Schedule 7 Proposed Rates effective January 1, 2019
- Schedule 8 Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2019
- Schedule 9 Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2020
- Schedule 10 Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2021



Schedule 7 – Proposed Rates effective January 1, 2019

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge					
3/4"	\$30.39					
1"	\$30.39					
1 1/2"	\$60.32					
2"	\$96.24					
3"	\$192.01					
4"	\$299.76					
6"	\$599.06					
8"	\$958.22					
10"	\$1,437.10					
12"	\$2,020.74					
Volumetric Ch	Volumetric Charge: \$0.73 / HCF					

Water Rates

Outside City Surcharge

Meter Size	Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$5.51
1"	\$5.51
1 1/2"	\$11.03
2"	\$17.64
3"	\$35.28
4"	\$55.13
6"	\$110.25
8"	\$176.40

Backflow Prevention Charge

\$8.75 per month

Private Fire Service

Size of Connection	Monthly Charge
2"	\$1.36
3"	\$3.96
4"	\$8.44
6"	\$24.53
8"	\$52.28
10"	\$94.01
12"	\$151.85
Fire Hydrant	\$15.19

Drought Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge			
3/4"	\$31.10			
1"	\$31.10			
1 1/2"	\$61.74			
2"	\$98.51			
3"	\$196.56			
4"	\$306.86			
6"	\$613.26			
8"	\$980.94			
10"	\$1,471.18			
12"	\$2,068.66			
Volumetric Charge: \$0.98 / HCF				

Schedule 8 – Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2019

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge				
3/4"	\$31.00				
1"	\$31.00				
1 1/2"	\$61.53				
2"	\$98.16				
3"	\$195.85				
4"	\$305.76				
6"	\$611.04				
8"	\$977.38				
10"	\$1,465.84				
12" \$2,061.15					
Volumetric Charge: \$0.74/ HCF					

Water Rates

Outside City Surcharge

Meter Size	Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$5.62
1"	\$5.62
1 1/2"	\$11.25
2"	\$17.99
3"	\$35.99
4"	\$56.23
6"	\$112.46
8"	\$179.93

Backflow Prevention Charge

\$8.93 per month

Private Fire Service

Size of Connection	Monthly Charge
2"	\$1.39
3"	\$4.04
4"	\$8.61
6"	\$25.02
8"	\$53.33
10"	\$95.89
12"	\$154.89
Fire Hydrant	\$15.49

Drought Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge				
3/4"	\$31.72				
1"	\$31.72				
1 1/2"	\$62.97				
2"	\$100.48				
3"	\$200.49				
4"	\$313.00				
6"	\$625.53				
8"	\$1,000.56				
10"	\$1,500.60				
12" \$2,110.03					
Volumetric Charge: \$1.00 / HCF					

Schedule 9 – Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2020

Water Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$31.62
1"	\$31.62
1 1/2"	\$62.76
2"	\$100.12
3"	\$199.77
4"	\$311.88
6"	\$623.26
8"	\$996.93
10"	\$1,495.16
12"	\$2,102.37

Outside City Surcharge

Meter Size	Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$5.73
1"	\$5.73
1 1/2"	\$11.48
2"	\$18.35
2	\$10.33
3"	\$36.71
4"	\$57.35
6"	\$114.71
8"	\$183.53

Backflow Prevention Charge

\$9.11 per month

Private Fire Service

Volumetric Charge: \$0.75 / HCF

Size of	Monthly
Connection	Charge
2"	\$1.42
3"	\$4.12
4"	\$8.78
6"	\$25.52
8"	\$54.40
10"	\$97.81
12"	\$157.99
Fire Hydrant	\$15.80

Drought Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$32.35
1"	\$32.35
1 1/2"	\$64.23
2"	\$102.49
3"	\$204.50
4"	\$319.26
6"	\$638.04
8"	\$1,020.57
10"	\$1,530.61
12"	\$2,152.23
Volumetric Charge: \$1.02 / HCF	

Water Rates		
Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge	
3/4"	\$32.25	
1"	\$32.25	
1 1/2"	\$64.02	
2"	\$102.12	

\$203.77

\$318.12 \$635.73

\$1,016.87

\$1,525.06

\$2,144.42

Schedule 10 – Proposed Rates effective July 1, 2021

Meter Size	Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$5.84
1"	\$5.84
1 1/2"	\$11.71
2"	\$18.72
3"	\$37.44
4"	\$58.50
6"	\$117.00
8"	\$187.20

Outside City Surcharge

Volumetric Charge: \$0.77 / HCF

3"

4"

6" 8"

10"

12"

Private Fire Service

hly
ge
5
)
6
}
)
7
5
2

Backflow Prevention Charge

\$9.29 per month

Drought Rates

Meter Size	Total Base Monthly Charge
3/4"	\$33.00
1"	\$33.00
1 1/2"	\$65.51
2"	\$104.54
3"	\$208.59
4"	\$325.65
6"	\$650.80
8"	\$1,040.98
10"	\$1,561.22
12"	\$2,195.27

Volumetric Charge: \$1.04 / HCF

