

There will be a Planning Commission meeting on Wed., March 19th, 2025, at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 678 W. 18th St. in Merced. Yosemite 1380 LLC, property owners, are seeking a General Plan Amendment to two parcels which will have a detrimental/harmful or adverse impact on numerous single-family, low density residential homes in the Oakmont subdivision. The application involves a request to establish a self-storage facility and to create a High Medium Density (HMD) subdivision consisting of 41 single-family residential lots, appr. 2,160 sq. ft. to 5,374 sq. ft. Although the developer has yet to submit building designs for the 41 residential lots, the plan is that 17 of the lots would be single-story homes and the remaining 24 would be two-story homes. (See Site Plan-SP1 The Cirrus Company-24-048 04/30/2024) and (Initial Study #24-25 Page 1 of 48 paragraph 2). The proposed buildings range in height, between 16 and 27 feet. The entire document(s) regarding this case is available at <https://www.cityofmerced.org/home/showpublisheddocument/22408/6387659821537071>

59

There are many issues of concern which need to be addressed.

- SELF-STORAGE UNITS: The proposed change from Commercial Office (CO) to Business Park (BP) for 2.72 Acres creates many problems. What will the hours of operation be IF this facility is approved? If it is a 24-hour accessible storage building, it will bring traffic and related activity through our neighborhood for all days of the week and night. It also gives “unsavory” people a cover to be in the neighborhood claiming they have a storage unit. This would not happen if the (CO) commercial office zoning remains in place.
- COMMERCIAL OFFICE TO HIGH MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL: Initial Study #24-25, Page 30, “The existing land use designations for this site does not allow for residential uses such as single-family homes, duplexes or high-density residential uses which include the former and multi-family residential.” The proposed land use amendment would transition the southern 4.48 acres of the proposed site into 41 lots designated (HMD) Residential. The existing planned development standards would be revised to allow the uses and residential density currently allowed under the (HMD) Residential. However, the “Planned Development would allow the developer to create and then propose unique development standards throughout the site, for the 41 separately identified lots located within the southern portion of the subject site.” The concerning issue for the subdivision is that 24 two-story homes on significantly smaller lot sizes are proposed to be plunked adjacent to an area of single-family homes. The developer has yet to submit substantive building designs for the 41 residential lots. However, the objectionable self-storage facility has drawings, elevations, etc. available.

- ENTRANCE/EXIT: As proposed (Site Plan 1) there is only one road for entering and exiting via Parsons Avenue which is where the (HMD) high medium density homes are planned. Is that adequate? Is it adequate in the event of an emergency? Can our local fire trucks maneuver easily? Each lot is supposed to have two parking spaces located within a garage. Does that mean visitors will be parking on the street? How will that impact accessibility? The absence of substantive design and the developers' apparent unrestricted capacity to create and then propose "unique" standards creates a strong impression of predatory development.
- FLOOD ZONE: Ahwahnee Drive was inundated several times with water during heavy downpours. The homes are built significantly higher than the road so they did not flood. How will flood water be handled? How and where will water be diverted?
- ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: The Environmental Impact Report [EIR (SCH# 2008071069)] were certified in January 2012. As a resident living in view of these empty lots, I have had the opportunity to view Swainson's hawks. How will they be affected?
- NEIGHBORING PROPERTY VALUES: A home is often the largest asset people have. The Oakmont subdivision has attracted many buyers who want privacy, safety and a quiet low-density environment. Oakmont will be negatively impacted. We have great concern for the substantial devaluation of our property should this zoning change be approved. Will the developer compensate property owners for this? Of greatest concern are the people who bought a home on the northern side of Ahwahnee Court. Was this information revealed to them by anyone before they purchased their home? They will have a concentrated development of two story buildings looking right down into their back yards—losing all sense of privacy—in a subdivision that does not have two-story homes.

CONCLUSION: Based upon these significant and serious issues, I oppose both

1) the seven day a week self-storage facility and

2) the extreme density (for Merced) two-story homes right next to Oakmont. Please leave the zoning as is: Commercial Office.