

		RECEIF	PT NUI	MBER:		
		24-2024	-014			
		STATE	CLEAF	RINGHOUSE	NUMBER (if applicabl	
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.						
LEAD AGENCY	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL			DATE		
CITY OF MERCED				01/19/2024		
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING				DOCUMEN	IT NUMBER	
MERCED COUNTY				24-2024-01		
PROJECT TITLE						
VESTING TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP #1327						
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL			PHONE NUMBER	
CITY OF MERCED		200702		I HONE NO	WIDER	
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STAT	STATE		ZIP CODE	
CITY OF MERCED, 678 W 18TH ST	MERCED					
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)				95340		
Image: Solution of the soluti	Other Special District		tate Ag	TOPOV		
		°			Private Entity	
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:						
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)		\$4,051.25	\$		0.00	
Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)		\$2,916.75	φ - \$		0.00	
Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due of	directly to CDFW	\$1,377.25	\$		0.00	
			-		0.00	
Exempt from fee						
Notice of Exemption (attach)						
CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)						
Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)					
Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources	s Control Board only)	\$850.00	\$		0.00	
County documentary handling fee		\$50.00	\$		0.00	
X Other No Fees Applicable			\$		0.00	
Cash Credit Check Other	TOTAL	RECEIVED	\$		0.00	
GNATURE AGENC						
AGENC	CY OF FILING PRINTED N	NAME AND TI	TLE			
Vyonnie	e Nunez Deputy Clerk					

FLLED

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1327 - Site Plan Review #530 - DEPUTY Environmental Review #23-34

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 206-010-010

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: This site was previously reviewed through Initial Study #01-32, resulting in a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The current proposal to construct a residential subdivision is consistent with the previous environmental review and the project remains in conformancewith the City's *Merced Vision 2030 General Plan*.

Original Project Date: Initial Study #01-32, resulting in a Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the Merced City Council on December 2, 2002.

Section A - Previous Studies

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Comment/Finding: The density proposed for the residential subdivision is consistent with the previous environmental review. This site was identified in the previous environmental review as high density residential allowing between 7 and 30 dwelling units per acre. The proposed apartment complex of this scale is considered consistent with the Village Residential density at 13.73 dwelling units per acre, thus, the project remains consistent with the previous environmental review.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)



Yes No





Comment/Finding: There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted.

Section B - New Information

Yes	No
	X

B)Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.

A)The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the

previous EIR or negative declaration.

- C)Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.
- D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.
- Comment/Finding: All previously identified mitigation measures will be enforced with this project including payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefore, the resulting impacts are no greater than those previously analyzed and the previously imposed mitigation measures remain sufficient to address all impacts from this project.

On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.

2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.

3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.

4. No further documentation is required.

Date: November 22, 2023

Prepared B₁

Francisco Mendoza-Gouzalez,

Associate Planner

Yes	No
	X

Ves	No
	X

Yes No