NOTICE O	F EXEMPTION		_
To:	Office of Planning and Rese P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, CA 95812-304 County Clerk County of Merced 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340		om: (Public Agency) City of Merced 678 West 18th St. Merced, CA 95340
Project Title:	Conditional Use P	ermit #1273	
Project Appli	icant: Merced Holdings,	LP	
Project Loca	tion (Specific): 2272 E Yo	semite Ave Al	<b>PN:</b> 008-520-020
Project Loca	tion - City: Merced	Project L	ocation - County: Merced
Description of	of Nature, Purpose, and B	eneficiaries of Projec	et:
Name of Pub	lic Agency Approving Pr	oject: City of M	erced
Name of Pers	on or Agency Carrying (	Out Project: Raj Josh	i for Merced Holdings, LP
De En _ <u>X</u> Ca Sta	inisterial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 1 sclared Emergency (Sec. 21080 hergency Project (Sec. 21080	80(b)(3); 15269(a)); (b)(4); 15269(b)(c)); Type and Section Number ode Number:	er: <u>Section 15301 (a) &amp; 15162</u> 
project consis	ts of minor interior and ex	terior alterations only	ve referenced Section, the proposed v, such as partitions, electrical, and QA Guidelines per Section 15301
			permination that the project remains conditional Use Permit #1238.
<b>Lead Agency</b> <b>Contact Pers</b>		ociate Planner A	rea Code/Telephone:(209) 385-6858
Signature:	Julson	Date: <u>5/30/23</u>	Title: Senior Planner
X Signed by	Lead Agency Dat	te Received for Filing at applicable)	OPR:

Authority Cited: Sections 21083 and 21110. Public Resources Code Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1. Public Resources Code

## The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: CUP #1273 – Environmental Review #23-20

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 008-520-020

**Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference:** This site was previously reviewed through Environmental Review #19-37 – Mitigated Negative Declaration (Initial Study #19-37 for CUP #1238).

**Original Project Date:** Initial Study #19-37 was approved on September 21, 2020, by the Merced City Council.

## **Section A - Previous Studies**

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

**Comment/Finding:** The proposed project is consistent with the previous environmental review. The previous Conditional Use Permit anticipated restaurants within this development. The proposal includes a restaurant with alcohol which is allowed in the Neighborhood Commercial (C-N) zone with Conditional Use Permit approval. The addition of alcohol sales to a restaurant would create any new impacts not already considered by Initial Study #19-37.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

**Comment/Finding:** There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the Mitigated Negative Declaration. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)

Yes	No
	X

**Comment/Finding:** There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings Page 2

## **Section B - New Information**

	Yes	No
A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration.		X
	Yes	No
B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.		X
	Yes	No
C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.		_ <u>X</u>
	Yes	No
D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from		X
those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.		

**Comment/Finding:** All previously identified mitigation measures will be enforced with this project including payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefore, the resulting impacts are no greater than those previously analyzed and the previously imposed mitigation measures remain sufficient to address all impacts from this project.

## On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

	1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
	2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
	3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
X	4. No further documentation is required.

Date: May 30, 2023 Prepared By:

Julie Nelson, Senior Planner