To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency):			
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044				
County Clerk County of:	(Address)			
County of .				
Project Title:				
Project Applicant:				
Project Location - Specific:				
Project Location - City:	Project Location - County:			
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficia				
Name of Public Agency Approving Project:				
	ject:			
Exempt Status: (check one): ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268 ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(4)) ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4)) ☐ Categorical Exemption. State type a ☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code no)(3); 15269(a)); 4); 15269(b)(c));			
Reasons why project is exempt:				
Lead Agency Contact Person:	Area Code/Telephone/Extension:			
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemptio 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed	n finding. by the public agency approving the project? Yes No			
Signature: Y Uson	Date: Title:			
Signed by Lead Agency Sign	ned by Applicant			
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Res Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Publ	ources Code. Date Received for filing at OPR:			

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15183 Findings:

Location: South of Winder Avenue, 650 feet east of G Street

Environmental Review #23-10

Application: Site Plan Review #518

Assessor's Parcel Number: <u>APN: 259-130-045</u>

Ge	eneral Plan Designation:_	Village Residential (VR)	Zoning:	Planned Development I	<u>P-D #58</u>
con wh rev	nsistent with the developm tich an environmental impa	on 15183 (Public Resources nent density established by a act report (EIR) has been cer ecessary to examine whether ect or its site."	Community it	Plan, General Plan, or Zo t require additional enviro	oning for onmental
res (Lo ado her	12. The document compresult of build-out of the 28, costs of Agricultural Soils appeted a Statement of Over	eral Plan and its associated Echensively examined the pote 576-acre Merced SUDP/SO and Air Quality) for which reriding Considerations (City nee the <i>Merced Vision 2030</i> solution #2011-63.	ential environm I. For those so no mitigation in Council Resol	nental impacts that may occignificant environmental neasures were available, ution #2011-63). This do	impacts the City ocument
	e following findings are rommunity Plan or Zoning	made in compliance with C	EQA Section	15183 – Project consisten	it with a
exa		ng the requirements of CEQ al effects to those which the			
1.	Is the project consistent v for residential developme	with the General Plan and Zonts)?	oning designat	ons for the site (including Yes X No	
	If yes, please explain belo	ow. If no, the project does no	ot qualify for th	is exemption.	
	units per acre, with an ave	ation for this site is Village rage of 10 units per acre. The Iditional lot and would maint al Plan.	e proposed Site	Plan Review which would	d modify
	proposed Site Plan Revie	d Development (P-D) #58 a w is consistent with the zon lan Review would also review	ing and establ	ishes the design standards	
2.	Are there any impacts that the parcel on which the par	t weren't evaluated in the Geroject would be located?	eneral Plan EIF	that are peculiar to the property YesNo_X	
	If yes, an initial study or mitigated.	detailed analysis is necessary	to determine	if specific impacts will ne	ed to be

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15183 Findings Page 2

If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.

Comment/Finding:

	All potential impacts from this development were evaluated with the General Plan EIR. The site is consistent with the General Plan and zoning and has no unique features that were not evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
3.	Are there project specific impacts which the General Plan EIR failed to analyze as significant effects. Yes No_ \underline{X} _
	If yes, an initial study or other detailed analysis is necessary to determine if the impacts are considered to be significant and if mitigation is required.
	If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.
	Comment/Finding:
	The proposed Site Plan Review would not result in any additional impacts that were not evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
4.	Is there substantial new information which would result in more severe impacts than anticipated by the General Plan EIR?
	Yes No <u>X</u>
	If yes, an initial study or other detailed analysis is necessary to determine if the impacts are considered to be significant and if mitigation is required.
	If no, continue with CEQA Section 15183 Exemption.
	Comment/Finding:
	There is no new information as a result of the proposed Site Plan Review that would result in more severe impacts. The proposed changes to the tentative map and design standards are consistent with the General Plan density and circulation element and the land use designation for Planned Development (P-D) #58. All potential impacts were evaluated with the General Plan EIR.
	the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15183 of the CEQA idelines:
	1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
	2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
	3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
	4. No further documentation is required.
	Date: <u>4/3/23</u>

Senior Planner

Prepared By:

Prepare a notice of exemption using CEQA section 15183 based on this analysis.