

	RECEIPT	NU	MBER:	
	24-2022-	051		
	STATE C	LEAP	RINGHOUS	E NUMBER (if applicab)
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.				
LEAD AGENCY LEAD AGENCY EMAIL			DATE	
CITY OF MERCED			05/06/202	22
COUNTY/STATE & GENCY OF FILING				ENT NUMBER
MERCED COUNTY			24-2022-0	
PROJECT TITLE				
SITE PLAN #494, ENVIRONHMENTAL REVIEW #22-20				
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME PROJECT APPLICANT	EMAIL		PHONE N	
ROBERT VERMELTFOORT ON BEHALF OF CHASE MERCED LP			(209) 385-	
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS CITY	STATI		ZIP CODE	
CITY OF MERCED, 678 W 18TH ST. MERCED	СА		95340	-
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)			55540	
Image: Second	T St	ate Ag	lency	
				Private Entity
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:				
Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	\$3,539.25	\$		0.00
X Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)	\$2,548.00	\$ -		2,548.00
Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW	\$1,203.25	\$ -		0.00
		-		0.00
Exempt from fee				
Notice of Exemption (attach)				
CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)				
Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)				
Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only)	\$850.00	\$		0.00
X County documentary handling fee	\$50.00	\$		50.00
Other		\$		0.00
AYMENT METHOD:				0.00
Cash Credit Check Other TOTAL	RECEIVED	\$		2.598.00
				2,598.00
				2,598.00
	NAME AND TI			2,598.00

Print Form

Appendix D

Notice of Determination

	; Office of Planning and Resear	rch	From: Public Agency: <u>City of Merced</u>
	U.S. Mail:	Street Address:	Address: <u>678 W. 18th St.</u> Merced, CA 95340
	P.O. Box 3044	1400 Tenth St., Rm 113	
	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Sacramento, CA 95814	Contact: Michael Hren
			Phone: 209-385-4768
	County Clerk County of: <u>Merced</u> Address: 2222 M St.		Lead Agency (if different from above):
	Merced, CA 95340		Address:
			Contact: Phone:

SUEJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse):
Project Title: Site Plan #494, Environmental Review #22-20
Project Applicant: Robert Vermeltfoort on behalf of Chase Merced LP
Project Location (include county): City of Merced, Merced County
Project Description:
Site Plan to build three new buildings, a 999-square-foot drive-through (Starbucks), a 4,088-square-foot mini-mart (7-Eleven), and a 4,837-square-foot office/retail building (future tenant) at the northeast corner of Olive Avenue and State Highway 59.
This is to advise that the <u>City of Merced</u> has approved the above (I Lead Agency or Responsible Agency)
described project on April 28, 2022 and has made the following determinations regarding the above
(date) described project.
 The project [will will not] have a significant effect on the environment. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. Mitigation measures [were were not] made a condition of the approval of the project. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [was was not] adopted for this project. A statement of Overriding Considerations [was was not] adopted for this project. Findings [were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
This is to certify that the final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at: City of Merced Planning Department, 678, 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340
Signature (Public Agency):
Date: May 3, 2022 Date Received for filing at OPR:

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: Site Plan #494 – Environmental Review #22-20

Assess or Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 058-030-042

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: Initial Study #20-36 for General Plan Amendment #20-02 and Site Utilization Plan Revision #2 for Planned Development #12.

Origin al **Project Date:** General Plan Amendment #20-02 and Site Utilization Plan Revision #2 for Planned Development #12 were adopted on May 03, 2021 with a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND).

Section A - Previous Studies

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Comment/Finding: The proposed Site Plan Permit proposes a convenience market. The proposed use follows the GPA and SUP Revision's plan.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the previous EIR. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)

Comment/Finding: There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous MND was adopted.

Yes	No
	X



Yes	No
	X

Section B - New Information

- A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration.
- B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.
- C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.
- D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

87

Comment/Finding: The proposed Conditional Use Permit follows through with and complies with the stated plan in the GPA and SUP Revision, no new information is present as a result of this application.

On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

X	

- 1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
- 2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
- 3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
- 4. No further documentation is required.

Date: May 4, 2021 Prepared By:

Michen

Michael Hren, AICP **Principal Planner**

Yes	No
	X





res	No
	X