

THE CI

- **To:** The Honorable Mayor and City Council
- From: Stephanie R. Dietz, Interim City Manager
- **Date:** September 11, 2020
- **Re:** City Council Information Report

HSR BOARD APPROVES FINAL EIR FOR WYE



Thursday the California High-Speed Rail Authority's Board of Directors unanimously approved the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the approximately 50-mile project alignment section referred to as the "Central Valley Wye" part of the Merced to Fresno Section. The news release is attached.

REPORT FROM THE CREEK FIRE

The Merced Fire Department is doing their part to provide mutual aid as resources are spread thin as fires ravage the state. Currently, there are two Engines, one Strike Team Leader and one Medical Unit Leader, for a total of 9 personnel assigned to incidents throughout the State.

Personnel assigned to the Creek Fire have reported unprecedented fire behavior while



working to protect life and property. During the first days of the Creek Fire resources were so limited crews worked 3 1/2 days straight prior to getting relief. The top photo shows Captain Cole, Engineer Parker, Firefighters Ybarra and Figley preparing for their next assignment. This photo was taken at three o'clock in the afternoon.







After a shift, firefighters can retire to the sleeper trailers set up on a football field for much needed shut-eye. Some take a moment to catch up on email and what's happening in the rest of the world. The City is reimbursed for equipment and personnel cost through the California Fire Assistance Agreement.



MERCED POLICE ASSIST WITH CREEK FIRE



Merced police officers have been deployed to the Creek Fire to assist firefighters with evacuating residents and providing security for the affected areas of Bass Lake, North Fork, and elsewhere. The Department will continue to assist the Madera County Sheriff's Office until services are no longer needed. Four officers per day have been committed to the fires since Sept. 7, (two each shift) and will continue through at least Sept. 16. The Department has been asked to send 6 to 8 officers per day for this Sat. and Sun. All units remain fully staffed within the City.



TREE TRIMMING IN COMPASS POINTE CFD

Grover Landscape continues with tree pruning and removal services in Compass Pointe CFD (Horizon Avenue, Lehigh Drive, Pacific Drive, and Colma Avenue areas) this week, under the direction of the Public Works Department. Crews began work last week to trim over 500 trees in this area.



ENGINEERING UPDATE

Cooper Lift Station

The Phase 1 Construction crew is working on the underground electrical system. This project is approximately 60% complete.



Lab Building & Solid Handling Building Restrooms

Daguerre Construction crew demolishing concrete to install a drain pipe. The project is just underway.



Water Well site #20

The Clark Bros. Inc. crew is in the process of excavating the footing for a block wall. This project is approximately 65% complete.



Upcoming Construction Projects (Council Award Date)

- 1. 117020 Gerard Ave. Sewer Main, Hwy. 99 to Kibby Road
- 2. 119006 Alpine Drive G St. to Wainwright Ave. Improvements

Projects in Design

- 1. Highway 59 Widening (Kickoff Meeting with Caltrans in 2-3 weeks)
- 2. Highway 59 and 16th Street Signal and Channelization (90%)
- 3. R Street, Loughborough to Buena Vista (95%)
- 4. Motel Drive Multi-Use Path (95%)
- 5. V Street, 16th to 18th Streets, Road Improvement (90%)
- 6. N Street, 16th to 18th Streets, Roadway Improvement (95%)
- 7. Gerard Avenue Sewer, Hwy 99 to Kibby (75%)
- 8. R Street, 16^{th} to 18^{th} (40%)
- 9. B Street Improvements (30%)

INSPECTION SERVICES REPORT

Please find attached the Inspection Services biweekly report.

LETTERS OF SUPPORT

Please find attached a letter of support for AB 3312, which would allow annexation of UC Merced to the City along Bellevue or Lake Road under certain special circumstances. The Governor signed the bill this week.

PLANNING COMMISSION MEMO

Please find attached the Planning Commission Action Memo for the Sept. 9 meeting.

SAVE THE DATE

Sept. 21 – Council meeting Oct. 5 – Council meeting

REPORTS & CORRESPONDENCE

- 1. High Speed Rail news releasePg. 92. Inspection Services reportPg. 113. Letters of SupportPg. 12
- 4. Planning Commission Memo Pg. 14



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Sept. 10, 2020

Toni Tinoco (559) 445-6776 (w) (559) 274-8975 (c) Toni.Tinoco@hsr.ca.gov

Authority Board Approves Final Portion of High-Speed Rail Line Between Merced and Bakersfield

SACRAMENTO, Calif. – Today, the California High-Speed Rail Authority's (Authority) Board of Directors unanimously approved the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the approximately 50-mile project alignment section referred to as the "Central Valley Wye" part of the Merced to Fresno Section. The action provides for full environmental clearance for 171 miles of the high-speed rail alignment between Merced and Bakersfield, and the selection of the State Route (SR) 152 (North) to Road 11 Wye alignment. The Board's actions also mark the second certification of an environmental document in less than a year.

"Today's approval by the Board represents another major milestone for this project as we have now completed the environmental reviews for the entire 171-mile stretch between Merced and Bakersfield," said CEO Brian Kelly. "I'd like to thank our local and regional partners for their continued collaboration as we work to implement the project in the interest of California and the Central Valley's future."



The Authority prepared and released the Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS as the lead agency for both the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). Responses to public comments received during the CEQA and NEPA review periods are part of this Final Supplemental EIR/EIS. The environmental documents evaluated four alternatives, with the final route for the Central Valley Wye being the SR 152 (North) to Road 11 Wye Alternative.

The next steps under CEQA and NEPA will include the issuance of a Supplemental Record of Decision consistent with NEPA requirements and the filing of a CEQA Notice of Determination.

The Final Supplemental EIR/EIS can be found on the Authority's website here: <u>https://hsr.ca.gov/programs/environmental/eis_eir/draft_supplemental_merced_fresno.as</u> <u>px</u>

###



Inspection Services CI

For the period of August 24th through September 6th, 2020, there were 24 New Single Family Dwelling Permits Issued.

The running total of New Single Family Dwellings in Plan Review is 549.

Multi Family Permits in review:

Gateway Terrace Apartments located at 405 W 12th St; 1 office/lounge and 6, 2 story apartments: 2 buildings have 16 units, 3 buildings have 30 units, and 1 building has 4 units for a total of 126 units. Compass Pointe phase II: 128 units in 16, 8-plex buildings, plus a clubhouse.

Merced Station (Yosemite at Lake Apartments) located at 2850 E. Yosemite Ave.; **5** of 15 multi-family apartment permits are still pending issuance (for buildings 10-14).

The Childs & B St. project plan review is approved and the permit is pending issuance. It consists of 5 publicly funded apartment buildings and a community building in an affordable housing development consisting of 119 units on 4 acres located at 1157 B St.

There is also 1 new 2,438 SF duplex in review, located at 345 W. 23rd St.

There were 6 multi-family permits issued during this period; for Merced Station located at 2850 E. Yosemite Ave. for buildings 5-9 & 15; 6- 27,276 SF, 3-story, 18 unit apartment buildings. 5 of those buildings previously had foundation-only permits approved. That adds 108 more units for a total of 180 new units issued thus far under 10 apartment permits at Merced Station.

There were 3 new construction commercial permits issued during this period; one for a 35,280 SF shell warehouse with a 672 SF dock located at 150 Hawk Dr., one for a 1,090 SF 10 unit mini storage addition for Central Self Storage located at 3 W. 23rd St., and one for a new 7,200 SF aircraft hangar at the airport located at 15 Condor Wy.

There were 0 new tenant improvement permits issued during this period.

There were 0 new commercial submittals during this period.

There were 2 new tenant improvement submittals during this period; one for a façade remodel at California Liquor & Grocery located at 1122 R St., and one for improvements at McDonald's located at 1060 W 13th St.

There were 4 CofOs issued for this period; one for a minor remodel at Costco located at 1445 R St., one for tenant improvements to Golden Valley Casino located at 1714 Martin Luther King Jr Wy., one for ballistic upgrades at MCAG located at 369 W 18th St., and one for Dutch Brother's Coffee located at 2005 E. Childs Ave.

There were 17 CofOs for Single Family Dwellings during this period.



(209) 385-6834 • (209) 723-1780 FAX

September 8, 2020

The Honorable Gavin Newsom Governor, State of California California State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Legislative Affairs; Request for Signature

RE: Assembly Bill 3312: Local Agency Formation: Annexation: City of Merced. (Gray) Letter of Support

Dear Governor Newson,

The City of Merced supports the Assembly Bill 3312; Local Agency Formation: Annexation: City of Merced. This legislation would amend the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2,000 to provide for an alternative method for the City of Merced to annex the University of California, Merced main Campus and other contiguous territory.

The City is currently completing the North Merced Annexation Feasibility Study. The area is generally north of Yosemite Avenue to the extent of the City's existing Sphere of Influence (SOI). This study area includes the UC Merced Campus, Bellevue Community Plan Area, University Community Plan Area, the proposed Yosemite Lake Estates Plan Area, and other developed and undeveloped properties.

The City undertook this study due to increasing interest by private property owners to possibly annex and develop their land. The process has included many opportunities for public participation as well as outreach with various stakeholders. The City also initiated polls to registered voters and property owners to solicit their input on potential annexation. This work is nearing completion and now also contemplates the unique opportunity which is represented using the proposed language of AB 3312.

We appreciate your assistance in signing this legislation and your work within the community. We look forward to your continuing support at the State level for Merced.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Murphy, Mayor

City of Merced

City of Merced

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 10, 2020

TO: City Council

FROM: Kim Espinosa, Planning Manager

SUBJECT: Actions at the Planning Commission Meeting of September 9, 2020

At their meeting of September 9, 2020, the Planning Commission recommended the adoption of a resolution of denial of the proposed modifications to the Preannexation Development Agreement for the Absolute-Bright Annexation to City Council.

The Commission heard and approved Tentative Subdivision Map #1314 for Yosemite & G, LLC., to allow the subdivision of approximately 21.5 acres into 17 lots, generally located at the northeast corner of Yosemite Avenue and G Street.

If you have any questions about this item, please feel free to contact me.

Attachment

n:shared:Planning:PCMemos

CITY OF MERCED Planning Commission

Resolution #4046

WHEREAS, the Merced City Planning Commission at its regular meeting (held via teleconference) of September 9, 2020, held a public hearing and considered Vesting **Tentative Subdivision Map #1314**, and **Amendment to the Legislative Action Agreement**, initiated by Yosemite and G, LLC., property owners. The application involves a request to allow the subdivision of approximately 21.5 acres into 17 lots, generally located at the northeast corner of Yosemite Avenue and G Street. The property is within Planned Development #72 and has a General Plan designation of Neighborhood Commercial (CN); also known as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 231-040-004 and APN 231-040-005.

WHEREAS, the Merced City Planning Commission concurs with Findings/Considerations A through J of Staff Report #20-451 (Exhibit B); and,

WHEREAS, the Merced City Planning Commission concurs with the Findings for Tentative Subdivision Map Requirements in Merced Code Section 18.16.80, 18.16.90, and 18.16.100 as outlined in Exhibit B; and,

NOW THEREFORE, after reviewing the City's Draft Environmental Determination, and fully discussing all the issues, the Merced City Planning Commission does resolve to hereby find that the previous environmental review (Initial Study #19-28 for General Plan Amendment #19-03 and Site Utilization Plan Revision #3 to Planned Development #72) remains sufficient and no further documentation is required (CEQA Section 15162 Findings), and recommend to the Merced City Council the approval of Amendment to the Legislative Action Agreement, and approve Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1314, subject to the Conditions set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, and contingent upon the approval by City Council of Amendment to the Legislative Action Agreement.

Upon motion by Commissioner DYLINA, seconded by Commissioner WHITE, and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners Delgadillo, Dylina, White, and Chairperson Harris
NOES: None
ABSENT: Commissioners Butticci and Camper (one vacancy)
ABSTAIN: None

PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046 Page 2 September 9, 2020

Adopted this 9th day of September 2020

Michael Harris

Chairperson, Planning Commission of the City of Merced, California

ATTEST: Secretary

<u>Exhibits:</u> Exhibit A – Conditions of Approval Exhibit B – Findings/Considerations

N:\SHARED\PLANNING\Projects\Yosemite & G\TSM\Resolution for TSM #1314.docx

CIPAGE | 16

Conditions of Approval Planning Commission Resolution #4046 Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1314

Note: The Conditions of Approval are based on Planning Commission Resolution # 4034 for General Plan Amendment #19-03 and Site Utilization Plan #3 to Planned Development (PD) #72 ("Prior Conditions of Approval"); Changes to such Prior Conditions of Approval are indicated by Strike Through (Deletions) and Bold Underline (Additions). It is the parties' intention that the Conditions of Approval attached hereto shall supersede and replace in their entirety the Prior Conditions of Approval.

1. The proposed General Plan Amendment and SUP Revision shall be constructed/designed in substantial compliance with the Site Plan, Rendering, and Typical Elevations and Floor Plans (Attachments D, E, and F of Planning Commission Staff Report #19-29), except as modified by the conditions.

2. The proposed project shall comply with all standard Municipal Code and Subdivision Map Act requirements as applied by the City Engineering Department.

3. The Project shall comply with the applicable conditions set forth in Resolution #2974 for General Plan Amendment #10-02 and Zone Change #410 previously approved for this site, <u>except as amended by these conditions</u>.

4. All other applicable codes, ordinances, policies, etc. adopted by the City of Merced shall apply.

5. Approval of the General Plan Amendment and Site Utilization Plan Revision is subject to the applicant's entering into a written (developer) agreement that they agree to all the conditions and shall pay all City and school district fees, taxes, and/or assessments, in effect on the date of any subsequent subdivision and/or permit approval, any increase in those fees, taxes, or assessments, and any new fees, taxes, or assessments, which are in effect at the time the building permits are issued, which may include public facilities impact fees, a regional traffic impact fee, Mello-Roos taxes—whether for infrastructure, services, or any other activity or project authorized by the Mello-Roos law, etc. Payment shall be made for each phase at the time of building permit issuance for such phase unless an Ordinance or other requirement of the City requires payment of such fees, taxes, and or assessments at an earlier or subsequent time. Said agreement to be approved by the City Council prior to the adoption of the ordinance, resolution, or minute action.

The developer/applicant shall indemnify, protect, defend (with counsel 6. selected by the City), and hold harmless the City, and any agency or instrumentality thereof, and any officers, officials, employees, or agents thereof, from any and all claims, actions, suits, proceedings, or judgments against the City, or any agency or instrumentality thereof, and any officers, officials, employees, or agents thereof to attack, set aside, void, or annul, an approval of the City, or any agency or instrumentality thereof, advisory agency, appeal board, or legislative body, including actions approved by the voters of the City, concerning the project and the approvals granted herein. Furthermore, developer/applicant shall indemnify, protect, defend (with counsel selected by the City), and hold harmless the City, or any agency or instrumentality thereof, against any and all claims, actions, suits, proceedings, or judgments against any governmental entity in which developer/applicant's project is subject to that other governmental entity's approval and a condition of such approval is that the City indemnify and defend such governmental entity. City shall promptly notify the developer/applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding. City shall further cooperate fully in the defense of the action. Should the City fail to either promptly notify or cooperate fully, the developer/applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to indemnify, defend, protect, or hold harmless the City, any agency or instrumentality thereof, or any of its officers, officials, employees, or agents.

7. The developer/applicant shall construct and operate the project in strict compliance with the approvals granted herein, City standards, laws, and ordinances, and in compliance with all State and Federal laws, regulations, and standards. In the event of a conflict between City laws and standards and a State or Federal law, regulation, or standard, the stricter or higher standard shall control.

8. De-annexation from the existing Maintenance District and Annexation to Community Facilities District (CFD) #2003-2 is required for annual operating costs for police and fire services as well as storm drainage, public landscaping, street trees, streetlights, parks and open space. CFD procedures shall be completed prior to any final map approvals or issuance of any building permits, whichever comes first. Developer/Owner shall submit a request agreeing to such a procedure, waiving right to protest and post deposit as determined by the City Engineer to be sufficient to cover procedure costs and maintenance costs expected prior to first assessments being received.

9. The project shall comply with all mitigation measures outlined in the Mitigation Monitoring Program for Initial Study #19-28 (Attachment H of Planning Commission Staff Report #19-29) and all applicable mitigation measures outlined in the Mitigation Monitoring Program for Initial Study #10-06 (Appendix C of Initial Study #19-28, Attachment G of Staff Report #19-29).

EXHIBIT A OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046 Page 2

Page 2

10. The proposed project shall comply with all standard Municipal Code and Subdivision Map Act requirements as applied by the City Engineering Department.

11. All signs shall comply with the <u>Master Sign Program approved as a part</u> of <u>Conditional Use Permit #1241, approved by the Merced Planning</u> <u>Commission on May 20, 2020, and with the</u> North Merced Sign Ordinance and Section 20.62.040 (B)(2) of the City's Zoning Ordinance for signs in a Neighborhood Commercial (C-N) zone.

12. The applicant shall construct all missing improvements along the property frontage on Yosemite Avenue and G Street including, but not limited to, sidewalk, curb, gutter, street lights, and street trees.

13. All necessary right-of-way along the property frontage, including Yosemite Avenue, G Street, and Sandpiper Avenue needed for public improvements shall be dedicated prior to the issuance of the first building permit or recordation of a parcel map, whichever comes first.

14. Appropriate turning radii shall be provided within the parking areas to allow for Fire Department and refuse truck access.

15. Parking lot trees shall be installed per City Parking Lot Landscape Standards and Section 20.38.070 (F). At a minimum, parking lot trees shall be provided at a ratio of one tree for every six parking spaces. Trees shall be a minimum of 15-gallons, and be of a type that provides a 30-foot minimum canopy at maturity (trees shall be selected from the City's approved tree list).

16. All projects on this site shall comply with Post Construction Standards in accordance with the requirement for the City's Phase II MS-4 Permit (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System). <u>Applicant may fulfill this requirement by contributing its "Fair Share" to the CFD of the cost for treatment facilities that will treat the stormwater generated by the entire service area. Applicant's "Fair Share" will be based on the applicant's percentage of discharge within the service area.</u>

17. Prior to issuance of the first grading/building permit for any project on the site, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Rule 9510 to the Planning Department. Changes to the site plan resulting from compliance with Rule 9510 are subject to review by City Staff or the Planning Commission, as determined by the Director of Development Services.

18. Bicycle parking for all projects on the site shall meet the minimum requirements of the California Green Building Code and Merced Municipal Code Section 20.38.080.

19. All landscaping on the site shall be in compliance with the City's Water Efficient Landscaping and Irrigation Ordinance (Merced Municipal Code Section 17.60) and all state-mandated conservation and drought restrictions as well as the City's Zoning Ordinance Section 20.36 – Landscaping.

20. Irrigation for all onsite landscaping shall be provided by a low-volume system in accordance with the State's Emergency Regulation for Statewide Urban Water Conservation or any other state or city-mandated water regulations dealing with the current drought conditions.

21. All landscaping in the public right-of-way shall comply with the most recently adopted water regulations by the State and City addressing water conservation measures. If turf is proposed to be installed in medians or park strips, high quality artificial turf (approved by the City Engineer and Development Services Director) shall be installed.

22. For buildings over 30 feet tall, a minimum 26-foot-wide drive aisle shall be provided for emergency vehicle access. The developer shall work with the Fire Department to determine the areas that need the 26-foot-wide drive aisle. An emergency access lane made of an all-weather surface shall be constructed to the south of the southernmost multi-family building. This lane shall either be able to meet the turnaround needs of emergency vehicles if it is 150 feet long or more, or it shall be less than 149 feet long but still meet the needs of emergency access for the residential building. In the event that the southernmost residential building is 30 feet tall or less, this path shall be a minimum of 22 feet wide. If the southernmost residential building is more than 30 feet tall, the path shall be a minimum of 26 feet wide. These details shall be confirmed as acceptable by the Fire Chief or designee prior to the issuance of occupancy permits for any of the multi-family residential buildings.

23. A fire control room may be required for the buildings on the site. The applicant shall work with the Fire Department to determine the location of the fire control room. Additional fire control rooms may be required at the discretion of the Fire Chief.

24. Each building shall be provided with a Fire Department Connection, <u>if fire</u> <u>sprinklers are required.</u>

25. Buildings that do not provide an elevator (other than a freight elevator) shall be provided with an additional exit. The developer shall work with the Chief

EXHIBIT A OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046

Building Official to determine the number of exits required for each building.

26. A minimum turning radius of 33 feet inside, curb-to-curb, and 49 feet wallto-wall for fire apparatus access must be provided throughout the project site or as required by the Fire Department.

27. All storm water shall be <u>either (a)</u> retained onsite and <u>metered out to the</u> <u>City's storm water system</u> or (b) directed to the basin immediately to the east of the project site in accordance with City Standards, subject to a storm drain plan approved by the City Engineer. The applicant shall submit calculations to the City showing, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer or designee, that the basin to the east of the project site has enough capacity for the proposed plans.

28. The developer shall use proper dust control procedures during site development in accordance with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District rules.

29. All parking lot and other exterior lighting shall be oriented in such a way so that it does not spill over onto adjacent properties.

30. Containers for refuse and recycled goods shall be stored in enclosures that are designed with colors compatible with the buildings and shall be constructed to meet City Standards. At the Building Permit stage, the developer shall work with the City Refuse Department to determine the best location for these enclosures to ensure proper access is provided for City Refuse Trucks as well as the number of containers needed to adequately serve the site. Use of a trash compactor should be considered to reduce the number of pick-ups per week.

31. All construction activity shall be conducted between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

32. All walking paths, bicycle and vehicle parking areas, and recreational areas shall be provided with sufficient lighting to ensure a safe environment.

33. All mechanical equipment shall be screened from public view.

34. Instead of the typical requirements for additional Conditional Use Permits and Site Plan Review for interface, this Site Utilization Plan process will address interface regulations, additional review, and permissibility of specific uses in Planned Development #72. These modifications apply in the portions of Planned Development #72 covered by the subject site parcels (Assessor's Parcel Number 231-040-004 and 231-040-005) in the following manner, taking into consideration that the adjacency of parcels may change in the event of parcel modifications in the future:

a. Multi-family housing will require a Site Plan Review Permit rather than a

EXHIBIT A

OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046

Conditional Use Permit, and if on a parcel abutting or across from (per the definitions in Section 20.32.020 of the Zoning Ordinance) a property with R-1 zoning, will require a publicly noticed public hearing at the Site Plan Review meeting per Section 20.32 of the Zoning Ordinance; and,

b. The hotel, rather than being "use not allowed", shall require a Site Plan Review Permit rather than a Conditional Use Permit, and if on a parcel abutting or across from (per the definitions in Section 20.32.020 of the Zoning Ordinance) a property with R-1 zoning, will require a publicly noticed public hearing at Site Plan Review meeting per Section 20.32 of the Zoning Ordinance, but will not require an additional Conditional Use Permit; and,

c. Restaurants selling alcohol for consumption on-site will require only a Site Plan Review Permit use without further requirement for a Conditional Use Permit or public hearing for interface considerations; and,

d. Gas and service stations will require only a Site Plan Review Permit without further requirement for a Conditional Use Permit unless the gas and service station wishes to sell alcohol, in which case a Conditional Use Permit is required, and a letter of Public Convenience and Necessity may be required, but an additional public hearing for interface consideration is not required; and,

e. Day care centers require only a Site Plan Review Permit without further requirement for a Minor Use Permit or public hearing for interface considerations; and,

f. Drive-through and drive-up sales require only a Site Plan Review Permit without further requirement for a Conditional Use Permit or public hearing for interface considerations; and,

g. General retail uses, professional offices, restaurants, and banks require only a Site Plan Review Permit without further requirement for a public hearing for interface considerations.

35. The traffic signal at G Street and Project Driveway 1, north of the proposed hotel, shall be connected into the City's street synchronization system to the satisfaction of the City Engineer or designee.

36. Sandpiper Drive, at a minimum, shall be constructed from Yosemite Avenue north to "Children's Avenue". Upon completion of the traffic signal at G Street and Project Driveway 1, north of the proposed hotel, Sandpiper Drive shall be constructed to Project Driveway 1. Prior to the issuance of any occupancy permit for any of the multi-family residential buildings, the two office buildings at the northern portion of the project site, or the hotel, Sandpiper Drive shall be constructed in its

EXHIBIT A OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046

Page 6

entirety to connect to Mercy Avenue.

36. <u>The following improvements depicted on the Vesting Tentative Map shall</u> <u>be constructed by the applicant consistent with the phasing shown on page 3</u> <u>of the Vesting Tentative Map attached as Exhibit "B":</u>

Phase 1: The G Street frontage for Phase 1 and Sandpiper Avenue up to the north line of the driveway of Phase 1.

Phase 2: Sandpiper Avenue from the driveway of Phase 1 to the north property line of Phase 2.

Phase 3: G Street frontage north of the traffic signal, the traffic signal itself, the interior private road between Phase 3 and Phase 4, and Sandpiper Avenue up to the north line of the interior private road.

Phase 4: The remaining frontage on G Street, the remaining portion of Sandpiper Avenue to the end of the north property line of Phase 4.

If development occurs out of sequence, the foregoing improvements will be constructed as reasonably directed by the City Engineer.

37. Safe pedestrian access from the multi-family residential portion of the project to the commercial portions of the site shall be provided.

38. In the event that the parcels of the subject site are ever subdivided or modified, in the manner suggested by the site plan or otherwise, cross-access and use agreements shall be put into place such that parking for all uses meets or exceeds City standards.

39. The entire development should be designed with a similar or complimentary aesthetic to the renderings shown at Attachment F of Planning Commission Staff Report #19-29.

40. For any illuminated signs placed above the ground floor, all illumination shall be located and directed in such a manner that light does not spill over to the east or north. Prior to installation, illuminated signs shall be approved by the Planning Manager or designee, and may require an analysis of lumens or other measurements of illumination as deemed necessary. Monument signs are not subject to this condition.

Findings and Considerations Planning Commission Resolution #4046 Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map #1314 <u>FINDINGS/CONSIDERATIONS:</u> General Plan Compliance and Policies Related to This Application

A) The proposed Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map (VTSM) conforms with the General Plan designation of Neighborhood Commercial (CN) and zoning of Planned Development (P-D) #72. The VTSM carries forward the project approved on January 21, 2020: General Plan Amendment (GPA) #19-03 and Site Utilization Plan (SUP) Revision #3 to Planned Development #72.

The SUP Revision included changes to a number of aspects of Planned Development #72, including a four-story, 128-room hotel of approximately 80,104 square feet, and two medical office buildings totaling approximately 66,465 square feet. It also included 44 Units of Multi-Family Residential Housing totaling approximately 29,887 square feet, fast food uses with drive-through windows totaling approximately 5,494 square feet, and a mixed-use development with approximately 59,616 square feet of other retail and office uses.

Traffic/Circulation

B) The project site is located at the northeast corner of Yosemite Avenue and G Street. The VTSM introduces minor changes to the layout of the project that should not cause significant variation in the traffic analysis prepared for the GPA and SUP Revision. The off-site improvements necessitated by this project, including improvements to G Street and the extension of Sandpiper Avenue, have timing requirements based on the new phasing plan for the project (Condition #36 of Exhibit A of Planning Commission Resolution #4046).

<u>Parking</u>

C) Parking for the site is altered slightly by the changes in site layout introduced by the VTSM. Staff believes that, with proper cross-access and use agreements in place, the changes still meet and exceed the parking needs for the proposed uses.

Public Improvements/City Services

D) <u>Water</u>

There is a 16-inch water line in Yosemite Avenue and another 16-inch line in G Street to serve the project site. The City's water supply would be sufficient to serve the proposed project.

<u>Sewer</u>

An 18-inch sewer line exists in Yosemite Avenue which flows to G Street, then continues out to the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP). The G Street sewer line is 27 inches

EXHIBIT B OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046 Page 1

wide at the project location. There is sufficient capacity at the WWTP, and the existing lines in Yosemite Avenue and G Street have enough capacity during peak hours to accommodate the additional wastewater and transmit it to the WWTP for processing.

<u>Stormwater</u>

A 24-inch storm drain exists in G Street. The project would be required to retain storm water onsite or in the collection basin to the east of the site that is part of P-D #72, and meter it into the City's system. If the project's stormwater conveyance system traverses the Merced Irrigation District's Sells Lateral located just south of Cottonwood Creek, an appropriate "Crossing Agreement" must be executed.

<u>Site Design</u>

E) The project site is bounded by Yosemite Avenue to the south, G Street to the west, the future extension of Sandpiper Avenue to the east, and approximately a hypothetical extension of University Avenue or Bobolink Court to the north. For clarity, Sandpiper Avenue is projected to extend between Yosemite Avenue and Mercy Drive during the lifespan of this project, while neither Yosemite Avenue nor Bobolink Court have such projections and are mentioned for the purpose of illustration only.

As proposed, the project site is divided into 17 lots. These lots are divided into phases as follows:

- Phase 1: Retail, Bank, Restaurant, Fast Food, Gas Station and Convenience Mart

 Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, and 10
- Phase 2: Office, Restaurant
 - Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 12
- Phase 3: Hotel and Multi-Family Residential
 - Lots 13, 14, and 15
- Phase 4: Medical Office
 - $\circ \quad Lots \ 16 \ and \ 17$

Staff believes that this phasing plan represents a sensible path for the project to follow, starting with the likely fastest-developing parcels near the corner of Yosemite Avenue and G Street and expanding into the property over time, capturing different segments as it expands. Allowing the site to add retail uses and other attractive businesses prior to introducing the multi-family housing element also makes the site more appealing to potential residents and by adding residents creates on-site demand for additional services, which is valuable to both businesses and residents alike.

Neighborhood Impact/Interface

F) As previously described, the project site is bordered on the east by residential uses, as well as Merced College to the west across G Street. The VTSM does not propose to modify the uses approved in the GPA and SUP Revision.

Public hearing notices were sent to all property owners within 300 feet of the site. To date, staff has not received any comments.

EXHIBIT B OF PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION #4046 Page 2

Tentative Subdivision Map Requirements

G) Per Merced Municipal Code (MMC) Section 18.16.080 – Information Required, a tentative subdivision map shall include all of the requirements shown at Attachment 6 of Staff Report 20-451. Said requirements include stating the location of the subject site, the name of the subdivision, and showing the layout of the proposed lots. MMC 18.16.090 – Required Statement requires the applicant to provide a statement that explicitly states any deviations from tentative subdivision map requirements, standard drawings, or Zoning laws. In this case, the applicant is not requesting any deviations from City requirements. MMC 18.16.100 - Public Hearing – Generally, requires a public hearing to review and approve a tentative subdivision map in conformance with the Subdivision Map Act. Per the California Environmental Quality Act a public hearing notice was mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the subject site and published in a qualifying newspaper, Merced County Times, three weeks prior to this meeting. In addition, staff reached out to local utility companies, local school districts, and other relevant government agencies to solicit comments. Staff did not receive any comments regarding this application.

<u>Signage</u>

H) All signs on the site would be required to comply with the approved Master Sign Plan, the North Merced Sign Ordinance and the Neighborhood Commercial sign regulations (Condition #11), as well as Condition #40 dealing with illumination. Final sign/design details will be addressed by staff at the Site Plan Review phase.

Environmental Clearance

I) The Planning staff has conducted an environmental review of the project in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and concluded that Environmental Review #20-13 is a second tier environmental document, based upon the City's determination that the proposed development remains consistent with the previously adopted Initial Study #19-28 and provisions of CEQA Guidelines, Section 15162 (previous environmental review for General Plan Amendment #19-03 and Site Utilization Plan SUP Revision #3 to Planned Development #72). A copy of the Section 15162 Findings can be found at Attachment 4 of Planning Commission Staff Report #20-451.

Legislative Action Agreement

J) For the Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map to proceed, an amendment to the existing agreement is necessary in order to both meet the infrastructure needs of the City of Merced and the viability of the site to the developer. The most recent agreement references previous agreements that have several outdated, contradictory, or infeasible requirements, schedules, and terms. The amendment removes these issues, adds a new phasing requirement tying the off-site improvements required to the phased development of the parcels, and generally removes unnecessary language that the developer believes has the potential to deter potential tenants from locating on the subject site. The amendment proposes to unify all conditions in this single agreement, superseding all previous agreements.